Michel Boileau

Profinite completion of groups and 3-manifolds II

Branched Coverings, Degenerations, and Related Topics Hiroshima March 2016

Joint work with Stefan Friedl

13 mars 2016

Hiroshima-2016

3-manifold groups

Throughout the lecture all 3-manifolds are understood to be connected, compact, orientable, aspherical and with empty or toroidal boundary.

By Perelman's Geometrization Theorem $\pi_1(M)$ is residually finite.

Definition

An orientable compact 3-manifold M is called profinitely rigid if for any 3-manifold N $\widehat{\pi_1(M)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(N)} \Rightarrow \pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(N)$.

There are closed 3-manifolds which are not profinitely rigid.

The examples known at the moment are **SOL manifolds** (P. Stebe, L. Funar), or **Surface bundle with periodic monodromy, i.e Seifert fibered manifolds** (J. Hempel).

The SOL examples are torus bundles with Anosov monodromies. They show that the action induced by the monodrmy h on all the finite characteristic quotients of $\pi_1(F)$ does not determine $h_{\star} \in Out(\pi_1(F))$

(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)

Examples : SOL

The examples of solvable fundamental groups do not follow from the Baumslag-Hirshon theorem :

Proposition (P. Stebe(1972), L. Funar(2012))

There exist infinitely many pairs of torus bundles with Anosov monodromies whose fundamental groups have the same profinite completion, but are not isomorphic.

In these examples $A \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi, \varphi \in Out(A) = GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are represented by non conjugate Anosov matrices Ψ and Φ , whose images in $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ are conjugate for every integer n > 1.

Stebe's example :
$$\Psi=egin{pmatrix}188&275\\121&177\end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\Phi=egin{pmatrix}188&11\\3025&177\end{pmatrix}$

Rigidity

There are no hyperbolic examples known, so the following question makes sense :

Question (Rigidity)

Which compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifolds are profinitely rigid? In particular what about hyperbolic 3-manifolds?

The answer is positive for the figure-eight knot group by the work of M. Bridson and A. Reid :

Thm (Bridson-Reid (2015))

The figure-eight knot group is detected by its profinite completion, among 3-manifold groups.

This is true more generally for a puntured torus bundle (Bridson, Reid and Wilton 2015).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Finiteness

The following finiteness problem is of interest :

Question (Finiteness)

Given a compact orientable aspherical 3-manifold M with empty or toroidal boundary, are there only finitely many 3-manifolds N with $\widehat{\pi_1(N)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(M)}$?

This is true for closed orientable Seifert fibered 3-manifolds :

Thm (G. Wilkes (2015))

Let M be a closed orientable irreducible Seifert fibre space. Let N be a compact orientable 3-manifold with $\widehat{\pi_1(N)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(M)}$. Then either :

- M is profinitely rigid, i.e. $\pi_1(N) \cong \pi(M)$, or
- M and N are surface bundles with periodic monodromies h and h^k, for k coprime to the order of h.

→ ∃ →

- ∢ 🗗 ▶

Profinite invariants

The main question addressed in the remaining of these lectures is :

Question

Which invariants or properties of M are detected by $\widetilde{\pi_1(M)}$?

Definition

An invariant σ (or a property P) is a profinite invariant (or a profinite property) if, given two compact, aspherical, orientable 3-manifold M and N with $\widehat{\pi_1(N)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(M)}$, M and N have the same invariant σ (or M has the property P if and only if N does).

Goodness

Following Serre a group π is good if the following holds :

For any finite abelian group A and any representation $\alpha: \pi \to Aut_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$

the inclusion $\iota : \pi \to \widehat{\pi}$ induces an isomorphism $\iota^* : H^j_{\alpha}(\widehat{\pi}; A) \to H^j_{\alpha}(\pi; A)$, for any *j*.

The proof of the following theorem uses Agol's virtual fibration theorem :

Thm (W. Cavendish (2012))

The fundamental group of any compact aspherical 3-manifold is good.

Corollary

For a compact aspherical 3-manifolds :

(i) the property of being closed is a profinite property.

(ii) the euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ is a profinite invariant.

(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)

Geometries

It is natural to ask whether the profinite completion detects Thurston' s geometric structures.

Thm (H. Wilton-P.Zaleskii (2014))

Let M be a closed aspherical orientable 3-manifold, then :

- being hyperbolic is a profinite property.
- eing Seifert fibered is a profinite propery.

The case (2) of this Theorem is used by Wilkes in the proof of his rigidity result for Seifert manifolds

The non-empty boundary case is still open in general.

With S. Friedl we settled the Seifert fibered case for knot exteriors.

Geometries

Corollary

Let *M* and *N* two closed orientable aspherical 3-manifolds such that $\widehat{\pi_1(M)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(N)}$. If *M* admits a geometric structure then *N* admits the same geometric structure.

Profinite completion distinguish hyperbolic geometry among Thurston's geometries because hyperbolic manifold groups are residually non-abelian simple.

Coming back to the case of surface bundles over the circle, one gets the following corollary :

Corollary

Let F be a closed orientable surface and h a homeomorphism on F. Whether h is pseudo-Anosov or periodic is detected by the actions induced by h on all the finite characteristic quotients of $\pi_1(F)$.

A B A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Volume conjecture

For M compact aspherical with empty or toroidal boundary, let define :

Vol(M) = sum of volumes of hyperbolic pieces in the geometric decomposition of M.

Let $\mathcal{N}(\pi_1(M))$ be the set of all finite index normal subgroups Γ of $\pi_1(M)$

Conjecture (Asymptotique volume conjecture)

$$\limsup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}(\pi_1(M))} \frac{\log(\operatorname{Tor}(\Gamma^{ab}))}{[\pi_1(M):\Gamma]} = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(M)}{6\pi}$$

Thm (T. Le (2014))
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{x \to 0} \log(Tor(\Gamma^{ab})) < Vol(\Lambda)$$

$$\limsup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}(\pi_1(M))} \frac{\log(\operatorname{Tor}(\Gamma^{-1}))}{[\pi_1(M):\Gamma]} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(M)}{6\pi}$$

volume conjecture

A much weaker question is :

Question

Is Vol(M) a profinite invariant?

A positive answer would settle the finiteness question for closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

It would also answer positively the following question :

Question

Does profinite completion detects graph manifolds?

A graph 3-manifold is obtained by gluing along some boundary components finitely many elementary pieces homeomorphic to a solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ or a composite space $S^1 \times \{\text{punctured disk}\}$.

It is obtained by gluing together geometric pieces which are not hyperbolic, hence its volume vanishes.

Maps

A continuous map $f: M \to N$ induces an homomorphism $\widehat{f_*}: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ and thus an homomorphism $\widehat{f_*}: \widehat{\pi_1(N)} \to \widehat{\pi_1(M)}$.

Proposition (Dixon-Formanek-Poland-Ribes 1982)

Let $f: M \to N$ be a proper map between two compact aspherical 3-manifolds. If f is a π_1 -epimorphism and if there exists an isomorphism $\widehat{\pi_1(M)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(N)}$ (not necessarily induced by f), then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Given a finitely generated group π , let $\pi(n) = \bigcap_{[\pi:K] \leq n} K$ the intersection of the subroups $K \subset \pi$ of index $\leq n$.

The system $\pi(n)$ of finite-index characteristic subgroups is cofinal and suffices to define the profinite completion : $\hat{\pi} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \pi/\pi(n)$.

 $\widehat{\pi_1(M)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(N)} \Rightarrow \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)(n) \cong \pi_1(N)/\pi_1(N)(n) \text{ for each } n \ge 1.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Maps

Therefore the induced epimorphisms

 $f_*: \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)(n) \to \pi_1(N)/\pi_1(N)(n)$ are isomorphisms for all n. Hence ker $\{f_*: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)/\pi_1(N)(n)\} = \pi_1(M)(n)$ for all n. ker $f_* \subset \ker\{f_*: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)/\pi_1(N)(n)\} \Rightarrow$ ker $f_* \subset \cap_{n \ge 1} \pi_1(M)(n) = \{1\}$, by residual finiteness of 3-manifold groups So f_* is injective and thus it is an isomorphism.

The result follows now from Waldhausen's theorem and from Mostow-Prasad rigidity theorem.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Virtual rank

A subgroup $\Gamma \subset \pi$ is called *subnormal* if there exists a chain of subgroups $\pi = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_k = \Gamma$, such that each Γ_i is normal in Γ_{i-1} .

Given a finitely generated group π we denote by $rk(\pi)$ its rank, i.e. the smallest cardinality of a generating set of π .

If $f: M \to N$ is a π_1 -epimorphism, then for any finite-index subgroup Γ of $\pi_1(N) : \operatorname{rk}(f_*^{-1}(\Gamma)) \ge \operatorname{rk}(\Gamma)$.

Thm (Virtual rank, B-Friedl 2016)

Let $f: M \to N$ be a proper map between two aspherical 3-manifolds with empty or toroidal boundary. Assume that N is not a closed graph manifold and that f is a π_1 -epimorphism such that for every finite-index subnormal subgroup Γ of $\pi_1(N)$:

$$\operatorname{rk}((f_*)^{-1}(\Gamma)) = \operatorname{rk}(\Gamma).$$

Then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Heegaard genus

Let h(M) be the minimal number of one-handles in a handle-composition of M with one zero-handle.

If M is closed, h(M) equals the Heegaard genus.

A covering $p: \widehat{N} \to N$ is subregular if p can be written as a composition of regular coverings $p_i: N_i \to N_{i-1}$, i = 1, ..., k with $N_k = \widehat{N}$ and $N_0 = N$.

Here is a variation on the previous theorem.

Thm (virtual genus, B-Friedl 2016)

Let $f: M \to N$ be a proper map between two aspherical 3-manifolds with empty or toroidal boundary. Assume that N is not a closed graph manifold and that f is a π_1 -epimorphism such that for every finite subregular cover \widetilde{N} of N and induced cover \widetilde{M} :

$$h(\widetilde{M}) = h(\widetilde{N}).$$

Then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Heegaard genus

It is not known whether for every π_1 -epimorphism $f: M \to N$ between two aspherical 3-manifolds the inequality $h(M) \ge h(N)$ holds.

The following result is a consequence of the proof of the previous Theorem and shows that the inequality holds virtually.

Proposition

Let $f: M \to N$ be a proper map between two aspherical 3-manifolds with empty or toroidal boundary. Assume that N is not a closed graph manifold and that f is a π_1 -epimorphism. Then there exists a finite subregular cover \widetilde{N} of N such that the induced cover \widetilde{M} satisfies the inequality $h(\widetilde{M}) \ge h(\widetilde{N})$.

Remark

The proof is based on the Virtual Fibering Theorem of Agol, Przytycki-Wise and Wise : any aspherical 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary that is not a closed graph manifold is virtually a surface bundle.