# PRIMITIVITY OF SUBLATTICES GENERATED BY CLASSES OF CURVES ON AN ALGEBRAIC SURFACE

### ICHIRO SHIMADA AND NOBUYOSHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective complex surface. Suppose that a finite set of reduced irreducible curves on X is given. We consider the submodule of the second cohomology group of X with integer coefficients generated by the classes of these curves. We present a method to calculate the primitive closure of this submodule, and apply it to cyclic coverings of the projective plane branching along four lines in general position.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective complex surface, and let D be an effective divisor on X with the reduced irreducible components  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ . We regard

$$H^2(X) := H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})/(\text{torsion})$$

as a unimodular lattice by the cup product, and consider the submodule

$$\mathcal{L}(X,D) := \langle [C_1], \dots, [C_k] \rangle \subset H^2(X)$$

generated by the classes  $[C_i]$  of the curves  $C_i$ . We denote by

$$\mathcal{L}(X,D) := (\mathcal{L}(X,D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^2(X) \subset H^2(X)$$

the primitive closure of  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)$  in  $H^2(X)$ . Then

$$A(X,D) := \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X,D)/\mathcal{L}(X,D)$$

is a finite abelian group. For a submodule  $M \subset H^2(X)$ , we put

$$\operatorname{disc} M := |\operatorname{det}(S_M)|,$$

where  $S_M$  is a symmetric matrix expressing the cup product restricted to M. (If M is of rank 0, then we define disc M to be 1.) By definition, M is a sublattice of  $H^2(X)$  if and only if disc  $M \neq 0$ . (See Definition 1.1.) If  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)$  is a sublattice, then so is  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X, D)$  and we have

(1.1) 
$$|A(X,D)| = \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{L}(X,D)}{\operatorname{disc} \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X,D)}}.$$

In this paper, we present an algorithm to calculate disc  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X, D)$  based on a simple topological observation (Theorem 1.2). Combining this algorithm with an algebrogeometric calculation of  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)$ , we can calculate the order of A(X, D).

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J28, 14H50, 14H25.

**Definition 1.1.** A *quasi-lattice* is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module L with a symmetric bilinear form

$$L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$$
  $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ 

For a quasi-lattice L, we put

 $\ker(L) := \{ x \in L \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in L \},\$ 

which is the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $L \to \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  induced by the symmetric bilinear form. A quasi-lattice is called a *lattice* if ker(L) = 0.

Note that  $\ker(L)$  contains the torsion part of L, and that  $L/\ker(L)$  has a natural structure of the lattice.

**Theorem 1.2.** We put  $X^{\circ} := X \setminus D$ . Let  $t_1, \ldots, t_N$  be topological 2-cycles that generate  $H_2(X^{\circ}, \mathbb{Z})$  modulo torsion. Let  $T_{X^{\circ}}$  be the quasi-lattice generated freely by  $t_1, \ldots, t_N$  with the symmetric bilinear form given by the intersection numbers  $t_i \cdot t_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)$  is a sublattice of  $H^2(X)$ , then disc  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X, D)$  is equal to disc $(T_{X^{\circ}}/\ker(T_{X^{\circ}}))$ .

The primary motivation of this article is the following question due to Shioda. Let  $X_m \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the Fermat surface

$$x_0^m + x_1^m + x_2^m + x_3^m = 0.$$

Then  $X_m$  contains  $3m^2$  lines. Let  $\mathfrak{L}_m$  be the union of these  $3m^2$  lines. For simplicity, we assume  $m \geq 5$ . Aoki and Shioda [1] showed that

$$(m,6) = 1 \iff \operatorname{NS}(X_m) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{L}(X_m, \mathfrak{L}_m) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $NS(X_m) := H^{1,1}(X_m) \cap H^2(X_m)$  is the Néron-Severi lattice of  $X_m$ . Shioda then posed the problem whether  $NS(X_m) = \mathcal{L}(X_m, \mathfrak{L}_m)$  holds or not for *m* prime to 6. In our terminology, this problem is to determine whether  $A(X_m, \mathfrak{L}_m)$  is trivial or not for *m* prime to 6. Recently, Schütt, Shioda and van Luijk [5] showed the following by modulo *p* reduction technique and computer-aided calculation:

**Theorem 1.3** ([5]). Let m be an integer with  $5 \le m \le 100$ . If m is prime to 6, then  $NS(X_m) = \mathcal{L}(X_m, \mathfrak{L}_m)$  holds.

The Fermat surface  $X_m$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^3$ -covering of

$$\mathbb{P}^2 := \{y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

branching along the union of the four lines

 $B := B_0 + B_1 + B_2 + B_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , where  $B_i := \{y_i = 0\} \cap \mathbb{P}^2$ ,

by the morphism

$$\phi_m : (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto (x_0^m : x_1^m : x_2^m : x_3^m) \in \mathbb{P}^2.$$

For i = 1, 2, 3, let  $\Lambda_i$  be the line connecting the intersection point  $P_{0i}$  of  $B_0$  and  $B_i$ and  $P_{jk}$  of  $B_j$  and  $B_k$ , where  $\{0, i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Then we have

$$\mathfrak{L}_m = \phi_m^*(\Lambda), \text{ where } \Lambda := \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3.$$

We generalize and extend the pair  $(X_m, \mathfrak{L}_m)$  as follows. Let

$$\varphi: Y_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{P}$$

be a finite covering branching along B. Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ , the covering  $\varphi$  is abelian, and there exists m such that  $\phi_m : X_m \to \mathbb{P}^2$  is a composite of a quotient

morphism  $X_m \to Y_{\varphi}$  and  $\varphi : Y_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ . Note that the singular points of  $Y_{\varphi}$  are located over the six nodes  $\{P_{01}, P_{02}, P_{03}, P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23}\}$  of *B*. Let

$$\rho: X_{\varphi} \to Y_{\varphi}$$

be a resolution of  $Y_{\varphi}$ , and put

$$\psi := \varphi \circ \rho \; : \; X_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{P}^2.$$

We then put

$$D_{\varphi} := \psi^* (B + \Lambda).$$

Note that  $A(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  does not depend on the choice of the resolution  $\rho$  by Proposition 2.1. Applying our method, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** If  $\varphi$  is cyclic of degree  $d \leq 50$ , then  $A(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi}) = 0$ .

We will see as a corollary of Proposition 3.2 that

$$\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi},\psi^*(\Lambda)) \subset \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi},D_{\varphi}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X_{\varphi},\psi^*(\Lambda)) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X_{\varphi},D_{\varphi})$$

for any covering  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branching along *B*. When  $\varphi$  is the covering  $\phi_m$  by the Fermat surface, we have  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) = \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  by Proposition 3.3. This equality does not hold in general, and we have examples of cyclic coverings  $\varphi$  for which  $A(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  is not trivial. See examples in §5.

The method of this paper can be applied to arbitrary covering of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branching along B, and in particular, to Shioda's original problem. However, even in the case of Fermat surface of degree 6, we have to deal with the covering of mapping degree  $6^3 = 216$ , and our computer has run out of memory. Thus we restrict ourselves to the cyclic coverings in this article.

Our method was initiated in [2]. This method has been recently applied to extremal elliptic surfaces in a sophisticated way by Degtyarev [4].

In §2, we prove Theorem 1.2. In §3 and §4, we explain in detail how to calculate  $A(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ . In §3, we calculate the orthogonal complement  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$  by the method of Zariski-van Kampen type. In §4, we calculate the discriminant of the lattices  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$ . The complete result in the case of cyclic coverings of mapping degree 12 is given in §5. In the last section, we present a couple of related results concerned with certain classes of cyclic coverings of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

When we were finishing this article, Degtyarev proposed an alternative method for the proof of the primitivity of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  using the idea of Alexander modules.

## 2. The Algorithm

Let X be a smooth projective complex surface, and let  $D = \sum m_i C_i$  be an effective divisor on X. We put

$$X^{\circ} := X \setminus D.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** If X' is another smooth projective surface containing  $X^{\circ}$  such that  $D' := X' \setminus X^{\circ}$  is a union of curves, then we have

disc 
$$\mathcal{L}(X, D)$$
 = disc  $\mathcal{L}(X', D')$ , disc  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X, D)$  = disc  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X', D')$ 

and  $A(X, D) \cong A(X', D')$ .

*Proof.* We have a smooth projective surface X'' containing  $X^{\circ}$  with birational morphisms  $f: X'' \to X$  and  $f': X'' \to X'$  that are isomorphisms over  $X^{\circ}$ . Then each of f and f' is a composite of blowing-ups at points. If  $bl: \tilde{X} \to X$  is a blowing up at a point on D with the exceptional (-1)-curve E, then we have

$$H^2(X) = H^2(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E]$$
 and  $\mathcal{L}(X, bl^*(D)) = \mathcal{L}(X, D) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E].$ 

Applying these to blowing ups composing f and f', we obtain the proof.

We define a structure of the quasi-lattice on  $H_2(X^\circ, \mathbb{Z})$  by the homomorphism

$$\tilde{j}_* : H_2(X^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X)$$

and the cup product on  $H^2(X)$ , where  $j : X^{\circ} \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion and the isomorphism in the middle is the Poincaré duality.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For a sublattice M of  $H^2(X)$ , let  $M^{\perp}$  denote the orthogonal complement of M. By the assumption that  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)$  be a sublattice, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X,D) = (\mathcal{L}(X,D)^{\perp})^{\perp}.$$

Since  $H^2(X)$  is a unimodular lattice and both of the sublattices  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X, D)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)^{\perp}$  are primitive, we have

(2.1) 
$$\operatorname{disc} \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X, D) = \operatorname{disc} \mathcal{L}(X, D)^{\perp}.$$

(Recall that the discriminant of a lattice of rank 0 is 1.) Note that  $\mathcal{L}(X,D)^{\perp}$  is equal to Ker r/(torsion), where  $r: H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(D,\mathbb{Z})$  is the restriction homomorphism. Under the Poincaré duality  $H_2(X^\circ,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X,D,\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong$  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

Im 
$$\tilde{j}_* = \operatorname{Ker} r/(\operatorname{torsion}) = \mathcal{L}(X, D)^{\perp}$$
.

Since  $\mathcal{L}(X, D)^{\perp}$  is a sublattice by the assumption, we have  $\ker(H_2(X^\circ, \mathbb{Z})) = \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{j}_*$ and hence  $\tilde{j}_*$  induces an isomorphism of lattices

(2.2) 
$$H_2(X^{\circ},\mathbb{Z})/\ker(H_2(X^{\circ},\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathcal{L}(X,D)^{\perp}.$$

Since the surjection  $T_{X^{\circ}} \longrightarrow H_2(X^{\circ}, \mathbb{Z})/(\text{torsion})$  that maps  $t_i$  to its homology class is a homomorphism of quasi-lattices, it induces an isomorphism of lattices

$$T_{X^{\circ}}/\ker(T_{X^{\circ}}) \cong H_2(X^{\circ},\mathbb{Z})/\ker(H_2(X^{\circ},\mathbb{Z})).$$

Combining this with (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain the proof.

 $\Box$ 

3. The discriminant of 
$$\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$$

Let  $\varphi : Y_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{P}^2$  be a *d*-fold covering of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (not necessarily cyclic) branching along a union  $B := B_0 + \cdots + B_3$  of four lines in general position, and let  $\rho : X_{\varphi} \to Y_{\varphi}$ be a resolution. We put  $\psi := \varphi \circ \rho : X_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the union of the three lines such that  $\Lambda \cap B$  is the six nodes of B, and put  $D_{\varphi} := \psi^*(B + \Lambda)$ . We explain in detail the algorithm to calculate  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$ .

Let  $\tilde{b}$  be a base point of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B$ , and put  $F := \psi^{-1}(\tilde{b})$ . Then the covering  $\varphi$  is determined by the monodromy

$$\mu: \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B, b) \to \mathfrak{S}(F)$$

to the group  $\mathfrak{S}(F)$  of permutations on F. Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B)$  is abelian, the homotopy class  $\beta_i$  of a simple loop around  $B_i$  is well-defined, and we have

 $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B) = H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta_0] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\beta_3] / \langle [\beta_0] + \cdots + [\beta_3] \rangle.$ 

The input of our algorithm is the permutations

 $\mu(\beta_0),\ldots,\mu(\beta_3)$ 

that satisfy  $\mu(\beta_0)\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2)\mu(\beta_3) = 1$  and that generate a commutative transitive subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}(F)$ .

Remark 3.1. The submodule  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  contains the classes of the exceptional curves of  $\rho$  and the class h of the total transform of a general line on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Since  $h^2 > 0$ , the Hodge index theorem implies that  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  are sublattices of  $H^2(X_{\varphi})$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  de an irreducible curve on  $X_{\varphi}$  that is mapped onto a line  $\Gamma$ on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Then  $[\tilde{\Gamma}]$  is contained in the primitive closure  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ . In particular, we have  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi}) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $\Gamma = B_i$  for some i, and let r be the ramification index of  $\varphi$  at the generic point of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . Then  $\psi^*(\Gamma)$  is the sum of  $r\tilde{\Gamma}$  and some exceptional divisors of  $\rho$ . Since  $[\psi^*(\Gamma)] = h$ , we have  $r[\tilde{\Gamma}] \in \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma \neq B_i$  for any i. We denote by  $\mathcal{N} := \{P_{01}, \ldots, P_{23}\}$  the set of nodes of B. Then we have a specialization  $\{\Gamma_t\}$  from  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_0 = \Lambda_j$  for some j such that  $\Gamma_t \cap \mathcal{N} = \Gamma_1 \cap \mathcal{N}$  for  $0 < t \leq 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  decomposes into a sum of some irreducible components of the total transform of  $\Gamma_0 = \Lambda_j$ . Hence  $[\tilde{\Gamma}] \in \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $\varphi : Y_{\varphi} \to \mathbb{P}^2$  is the  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^3$ -covering by the Fermat surface  $\phi_m : X_m \to \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\rho$  is the identity, then we have  $\mathcal{L}(X_m, \phi_m^*(\Lambda)) = \mathcal{L}(X_m, D_{\phi_m})$ .

Proof. Let  $R_i$  be the curve  $X_m \cap \{x_i = 0\}$ . Since  $\phi_m^*(B_i) = mR_i$ , it is enough to prove  $[R_i] \in \mathcal{L}(X_m, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ . Let  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  be an *m*-th root of -1, and let *H* be the curve  $X_m \cap \{x_0 - \zeta x_1 = 0\}$ . Then *H* is a union of *m* lines. Thus we have  $[R_i] = [H] \in \mathcal{L}(X_m, \psi^*(\Lambda))$ .

By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi},\psi^*(\Lambda))^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi},D_{\varphi})^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi},D_{\varphi}+\psi^*(\Gamma_1+\cdots+\Gamma_k))^{\perp}$$

for any lines  $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Hence, in order to calculate  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$ , it is enough to take suitable lines  $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , put

$$U := \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (B + \Lambda + \sum \Gamma_q),$$

and calculate the intersection pairing of topological 2-cycles on

$$X^U := \psi^{-1}(U)$$

We choose U in such a way that U admits a morphism

$$f: U \to \mathbb{C}^{\circ} := \mathbb{C} \setminus (a \text{ finite set of points})$$

such that the composite

$$f \circ \psi|_{X^U} : X^U \to U \to \mathbb{C}^\circ$$

is a locally trivial fibration (in the classical topology) with fibers being open Riemann surfaces.



FIGURE 3.1. Lines  $B_i$ ,  $\Lambda_j$  and  $\Gamma_q$ 

Our choice of U and f is as follows. Let (x,y) be affine coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that

$$B_0 = \{x - y + 1 = 0\}, \quad B_1 = \{x - y - 1 = 0\}, \\ B_2 = \{-x - y + 1 = 0\}, \quad B_3 = \{-x - y - 1 = 0\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda_1$  is the line at infinity, and  $\Lambda_2 = \{x = 0\}, \Lambda_3 = \{y = 0\}$ . We put

 $\Gamma_1 := \{x = 1\}, \quad \Gamma_2 := \{x = -1\}.$ 

Let  $\overline{f}: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  be the projection  $(x, y) \mapsto x$ . Then the maps

$$f := \bar{f}|_U : U \to \mathbb{C}^\circ := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } f \circ \psi|_{X^U} : X^U \to \mathbb{C}^\circ$$

are locally trivial fibrations. See Figure 3.1, in which the thick lines are  $B_0, \ldots, B_3$ . We choose a base point  $b \in \mathbb{C}^\circ$  at a large real number, and put

$$\tilde{b} := (b, b') \in f^{-1}(b),$$

where b' is also a large real number such that

1

 $b' \gg b.$ 

We then put

(3.1)

$$R := \psi^{-1}(f^{-1}(b)) \subset X^U, \quad F := \psi^{-1}(\tilde{b}) \subset R.$$

Then F is a finite set of d points, and  $\psi|_R : R \to f^{-1}(b)$  is an étale covering of the punctured line  $f^{-1}(b)$ . The five punctured points  $\bar{f}^{-1}(b) \setminus f^{-1}(b)$  are located on the real line of  $\bar{f}^{-1}(b) = \mathbb{C}$ . We index them as  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4, Q_5$  from left to right. Let  $\gamma_i$  be the homotopy class of the simple loop on  $f^{-1}(b)$  around  $Q_i$  with the base point  $\tilde{b}$  as in Figure 3.2, in which only three of five simple loops are drawn. These classes  $\gamma_i$  generate the free group  $\pi_1(f^{-1}(b), \tilde{b})$ , and they are mapped by the natural homomorphism  $\pi_1(f^{-1}(b), \tilde{b}) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B, \tilde{b})$  as follows:

$$\gamma_1 \mapsto \beta_3, \quad \gamma_2 \mapsto \beta_2, \quad \gamma_3 \mapsto 1, \quad \gamma_4 \mapsto \beta_1, \quad \gamma_5 \mapsto \beta_0.$$

Hence, from the input  $\mu : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B) \to \mathfrak{S}(F)$ , we can readily calculate the monodromy  $\pi_1(f^{-1}(b), \tilde{b}) \to \mathfrak{S}(F)$ . The action of the image of  $\gamma_i$  on  $p \in F$  is denoted



FIGURE 3.2. Simple loops



FIGURE 3.3. Shifting of loops

by  $p \mapsto \gamma_i(p)$  for simplicity. We denote by  $p \otimes \gamma_i$  the path on R that is the lift of  $\gamma_i$  starting from p (and hence ending at  $\gamma_i(p)$ ), and consider the module

$$\mathcal{P} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} \bigoplus_{p \in F} \mathbb{Z}(p \otimes \gamma_i)$$

freely generated by these  $p \otimes \gamma_i$ . We have a canonical isomorphism

 $\mathcal{P} \cong H_0(F, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}).$ 

Elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are regarded as topological 1-chains on R. Then we have

 $H_1(R,\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Ker}(w: \mathcal{P} \to H_0(F,\mathbb{Z})),$ 

where w is the homomorphism defined by  $w(p \otimes \gamma_i) := (1 - \gamma_i)p$ . Thus we have a list of topological 1-cycles on R whose homology classes form a basis of  $H_1(R, \mathbb{Z})$ . Each member of this list is expressed as an integer vector of length rank  $\mathcal{P} = 5d$ . The intersection pairing  $Q_R$  among these cycles are calculated from the intersection numbers  $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  of topological chains  $p \otimes \gamma_j$  by *shifting* the path  $\gamma_j$  as in Figure 3.3. Namely, we have

$$Q_{\mathcal{P}}(p \otimes \gamma_{i}, p' \otimes \gamma_{j}) = \begin{cases} \delta(p, p') - \delta(\gamma_{i}(p), p') - \delta(p, \gamma_{j}(p')) + \delta(\gamma_{i}(p), \gamma_{j}(p')) & \text{if } i < j, \\ -\delta(p, p') + \delta(p, \gamma_{i}(p')) & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\delta(p, p') + \delta(\gamma_{i}(p), p') + \delta(p, \gamma_{j}(p')) - \delta(\gamma_{i}(p), \gamma_{j}(p')) & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta$  is Kronecker's delta function. (Note that  $p' \otimes \gamma_j$  is the shifted cycle.) Restricting  $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  to the submodule  $H_1(R, \mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Ker} w$ , we obtain  $Q_R$ .

Next we calculate the monodromy action of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^\circ, b)$  on  $H_1(R, \mathbb{Z})$  associated with the locally trivial fibration  $f \circ \psi|_U : X^U \to \mathbb{C}^\circ$ . By (3.1), we have a continuous section

$$s: \mathbb{C}^{\circ} \to U$$

of  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}^{\circ}$  that satisfies s(x) = (x, b') for x inside a sufficiently large disk on  $\mathbb{C}^{\circ}$ . Since  $s(b) = \tilde{b}, \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{\circ}, b)$  acts on  $\pi_1(f^{-1}(b), \tilde{b})$  and hence on  $H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{\circ}, b)$  acts on F and hence on  $H_0(F, \mathbb{Z})$  by  $s_*: \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{\circ}, b) \to \pi_1(U, \tilde{b})$ . Thus  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{\circ}, b)$  acts on  $\mathcal{P} \cong H_0(F, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ . This action preserves Ker w, and the restriction to Ker  $w = H_1(R, \mathbb{Z})$  is the desired monodromy.

We write the monodromy on  $\mathcal{P}$  explicitly. Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$  be the homotopy classes of the simple loops on  $\mathbb{C}^\circ$  around -1, 0, 1, respectively, with the base point b depicted in Figure 3.2. (We use Figure 3.2 twice to illustrate completely different objects; simple loops on the fiber  $f^{-1}(b)$  in the previous paragraph and simple loops on the base curve  $\mathbb{C}^\circ$  here.) The action of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^\circ, b)$  on  $H_0(F,\mathbb{Z})$  is trivial, because of the property (3.1). When a point  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\circ$  moves along  $\tau_j$ , the five punctured points  $\bar{f}^{-1}(t) \setminus f^{-1}(t)$  undergo the braid monodromy. Let  $\mathcal{B}_5$  denote the braid group on the strings in  $\mathbb{C} \times I$  connecting  $(Q_i, 0) \in \mathbb{C} \times I$  and  $(Q_i, 1) \in \mathbb{C} \times I$ , and let  $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{B}_5$ be the simple braid that interchanges  $Q_i$  and  $Q_{i+1}$  by the positive half-twist and fixes the other  $Q_{i'}$ . We write the conjunction of braids from right to left so that  $\mathcal{B}_5$ acts on  $\pi_1(f^{-1}(b), \tilde{b})$  from the left:

$$\sigma_i(\gamma_j) = \begin{cases} \gamma_j^{-1} \gamma_{j+1} \gamma_j & \text{if } i = j, \\ \gamma_{j-1} & \text{if } i = j-1, \\ \gamma_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(The conjunction of loops is also written from right to left.) The braid monodromy br :  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^\circ, b) \to \mathcal{B}_5$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{br}(\tau_1) &= (\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2)^{-1} (\sigma_1 \sigma_4)^{-1} (\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2)^2 (\sigma_1 \sigma_4) (\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2), \\ \mathrm{br}(\tau_2) &= (\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2)^{-1} (\sigma_1 \sigma_4)^2 (\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2), \\ \mathrm{br}(\tau_3) &= (\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining them, we obtain the action of  $\tau_j \in \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^\circ, b)$  on  $\pi_1(f^{-1}(b), \tilde{b})$ , on  $H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ , and hence on  $\mathcal{P}$  and Ker  $w = H_1(R, \mathbb{Z})$ , which we write  $\alpha \mapsto \tau_j(\alpha)$  for simplicity. For example, we have

$$\tau_1(\gamma_1) = \gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_3^{-1} \gamma_4^{-1} \gamma_5^{-1} \gamma_4 \gamma_1 \gamma_4^{-1} \gamma_5 \gamma_4 \gamma_3 \gamma_1,$$

and hence

$$\tau_1(p \otimes \gamma_1) = (p \otimes \gamma_1) + (\gamma_1(p) \otimes \gamma_3) + (\gamma_3 \gamma_1(p) \otimes \gamma_4) + (\gamma_4 \gamma_3 \gamma_1(p) \otimes \gamma_5) - (\gamma_4^{-1} \gamma_5 \gamma_4 \gamma_3 \gamma_1(p) \otimes \gamma_4) + (\gamma_4^{-1} \gamma_5 \gamma_4 \gamma_3 \gamma_1(p) \otimes \gamma_1) + \cdots$$

Remark that the topological chain of the path  $\gamma_i^{-1}$  on R that starts from  $p \in F$  is  $-\gamma_i^{-1}(p) \otimes \gamma_i$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For  $\alpha \in \text{Ker } w$  and  $\tau_j$ , we denote by  $\alpha \otimes \tau_j$  the topological 2-chain on  $X^U$  that is a tube over the loop  $\tau_j$  on U whose fiber over  $\tau_j(t)$  is a topological 1-cycle on  $(f \circ \psi)^{-1}(t)$  that is mapped to  $\alpha$  by the inverse of the diffeomorphism  $R = (f \circ \psi)^{-1}(0) \cong (f \circ \psi)^{-1}(t)$  along  $\tau_j$ , and let

$$\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{Ker} w \otimes (\mathbb{Z}[\tau_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\tau_2] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\tau_3]) \subset \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}$$

denote the module of these topological 2-chains. We have a canonical identification

$$\mathcal{T} = H_1(R,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_1(\mathbb{C}^\circ,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since the fiber of  $\alpha \otimes \tau_j$  over  $\tau_j(1)$  is homologous to  $\tau_j(\alpha)$  in R, we have

$$H_2(X^U, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Ker}(W : \mathcal{T} \to \operatorname{Ker} w),$$

where W is the homomorphism defined by  $W(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \otimes \tau_j) := \sum_{j=1}^{3} (1 - \tau_j)\alpha_j$ . Therefore we obtain a list of topological 2-cycles whose homology classes form a basis of  $H_2(X^U, \mathbb{Z})$ . Each member is expressed as an integer vector of length 15*d*. The intersection pairing  $Q_X$  among these topological 2-cycles are calculated from the intersection numbers  $Q_T$  of topological 2-chains  $\sum \alpha_j \otimes \tau_j$  by shifting the path  $\tau_j$  as in Figure 3.3. Namely, we have

$$-Q_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{\alpha_{j} \otimes \tau_{j}} \sum_{\alpha_{j} \otimes \tau_{j}} \sum_{\alpha_{j} \otimes \tau_{j}} \right)$$

$$= Q_{R}((1-\tau_{1})(\alpha_{1}), (1-\tau_{2})(\alpha_{2})) + Q_{R}((1-\tau_{1})(\alpha_{1}), (1-\tau_{3})(\alpha_{3}')))$$

$$+Q_{R}((1-\tau_{2})(\alpha_{2}), (1-\tau_{3})(\alpha_{3}'))$$

$$+Q_{R}((1-\tau_{1})(\alpha_{1}), -\tau_{1}(\alpha_{1}')) + Q_{R}((1-\tau_{2})(\alpha_{2}), -\tau_{2}(\alpha_{2}')))$$

$$+Q_{R}((1-\tau_{3})(\alpha_{3}), -\tau_{3}(\alpha_{3}')).$$

The six terms correspond to the six intersection points of  $\tau_j$  and their shifts. Restricting  $Q_{\mathcal{T}}$  to Ker W, we obtain the intersection pairing  $Q_X$  on  $H_2(X^U, \mathbb{Z})$ .

By Theorem 1.2, the orthogonal complement  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$  is then isomorphic to the lattice Ker W/ ker(Ker W) associated to the quasi-lattice (Ker  $W, Q_X$ ).

*Remark* 3.4. As the explanation above suggests, the method can be applied inductively to calculate the intersection pairing on the middle homology group of smooth affine varieties with locally trivial fibrations to a product to punctured affine lines.

## 4. The discriminant of $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$

Let the notations be as in the previous section. In this section, we explain how to calculate the intersection matrices of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$ .

By Remark 3.1,  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  are lattices. Therefore, if we know the intersection matrix of a set of generators  $C_i$ , our lattices can be calculated as the quotient of the quasi-lattice  $\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}C_i$  by its kernel. We will take the irreducible components of  $\psi^*(\Lambda)$  and  $D_{\varphi}$  as generators.

Recall that  $B_i \subset \mathbb{P}^2 = \{y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is the line  $y_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $P_{ij} = B_i \cap B_j$  for  $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$  and  $\Lambda_i$  is the line through  $P_{0i}$  and  $P_{jk}$  where  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and j < k.

**Definition 4.1.** In what follows, a distinguished point will mean a point in  $\varphi^{-1}(P_{ij})$ , a distinguished curve will mean an irreducible component of  $\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda_i)$  or its strict transform on  $X_{\varphi}$ , and a boundary curve will be  $\varphi^{-1}(B_i)_{\text{red}}$  or its strict transform on  $X_{\varphi}$ .

We have to list up the distinguished curves and points, describe the minimal resolutions of singularities at the distinguished points and calculate the intersection numbers of curves involved.

4.1. Distinguished points and distinguished curves. First of all, it is necessary to label the distinguished points and distinguished curves in some way. We do this by regarding them as images of points and lines on the Fermat surface  $X_m$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $G \subset \text{Gal}(X_m/\mathbb{P}^2) = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^3$  be the subgroup corresponding to the intermediate cover  $X_m \to Y_{\varphi}$ .

Write  $\xi^{(p)}$  for  $\exp((2p+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}/m)$ .

- (1) Let i, j, k and l be such that i < j, k < l and  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\} = \{i, j, k, l\}$ .
  - (a) Let  $U_{ij} = \{|y_i/y_k| < \epsilon, |y_j/y_k| < \epsilon\}$  be a small polydisc near  $P_{ij}, h_{ij}$ :  $\pi_1(U_{ij} \setminus B) \to \operatorname{Gal}(X_m/\mathbb{P}^2)$  the natural homomorphism and  $H_{ij} := h_{ij}(h_{ij}^{-1}(G)).$
- (b) For  $0 \le p < m$ , let  $P_{ijp}$  be the point  $(x_i = x_j = 0, x_l = \xi^{(p)} x_k)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . (2) Let i, j and k be such that  $\{1, 2, 3\} = \{i, j, k\}$  and j < k.
  - (a) Let  $V_i \subset \Lambda_i$  be a disc near  $P_{0i}, k_i : \pi_1(V_i \setminus B) \to \operatorname{Gal}(X_m/\mathbb{P}^2)$  the natural homomorphism and  $K_i := k_i(k_i^{-1}(G)).$

(b) For  $0 \le p, q < m$ , let  $L_{ipq}$  be the line  $(x_i = \xi^{(p)} x_0, x_k = \xi^{(q)} x_j)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

(1) (a)  $\phi_m^{-1}(P_{ij}) = \{P_{ijp} | 0 \le p < m\}.$ Lemma 4.3.

- (b) The group G acts on  $\{P_{ijp}|0 \le p < m\}$  with stabilizer subgroup  $H_{ij}$ . The distinguished points on  $Y_{\varphi}$  over  $P_{ij}$  can be identified with orbits of the free  $G/H_{ij}$ -action on  $\{P_{ijp}\}$ .
- (2) (a)  $\phi_m^* \Lambda_i = \sum_{0 \le p,q < m} L_{ipq}$ . (b) The group G acts on  $\{L_{ipq} | 0 \le p,q < m\}$  with stabilizer subgroup  $K_i$ , and the distinguished curves (on  $Y_{\varphi}$  or  $X_{\varphi}$ ) over  $\Lambda_i$  can be identified with orbits of the free  $G/K_i$ -action on  $\{L_{ipq}\}$ .
  - (c) Let  $\overline{L}$  be the image of  $L_{ipq}$  on  $Y_{\varphi}$ . Then  $\deg(L_{ipq} \to \overline{L}) = |K_i|$  and  $\deg(\bar{L} \to \Lambda_i) = m/|K_i|.$

*Proof.* (1a), (2a) Straightforward calculations.

(1b) Let W be the connected component of  $\phi_m^{-1}(U_{ij})$  containing  $P_{ijp}$  and let P be a point of  $W \setminus \phi_m^{-1}(B)$ . For  $g \in G$ , we have  $g(P_{ijp}) = P_{ijp} \Leftrightarrow g(P) \in W \Leftrightarrow$ g comes from a deck transformation of  $W \to U_{ij} \Leftrightarrow g \in H_{ij}$ . Thus the stabilizer subgroup is  $H_{ij}$ , and the remaining assertions follow.

(2b) is similar.

(2c) Since the group  $\operatorname{Gal}(X_m/\mathbb{P}^2)$  acts freely on  $X_m \setminus \phi_m^{-1}(B)$ , the action of  $K_i$  on  $L_{ipq}$  is faithful, and  $\operatorname{deg}(L_{ipq} \to \overline{L}) = |K_i|$ . By the same reason, we have  $\operatorname{deg}(L_{ipq} \to \Lambda_i) = (\operatorname{deg} X_m \to \mathbb{P}^2)/(\#\{L_{ipq}|0 \le p, q < m\}) = m$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.4.** Denote by  $\overline{P}_{ijp}$  the image of  $P_{ijp}$  on  $Y_{\varphi}$  (i.e. the distinguished point corresponding to the orbit of  $P_{ijp}$ ).

Denote by  $\bar{L}'_{ipq}$  the image of  $L_{ipq}$  on  $Y_{\varphi}$  and by  $\bar{L}_{ipq}$  its strict transform on  $X_{\varphi}$ (i.e. the distinguished curves corresponding to the orbit of  $L_{ipq}$ ).

Let us denote by (C.D)' the intersection number outside the inverse image of the distinguished points. For (i, p, q) and (i', p', q') with  $\bar{L}_{ipq} \neq \bar{L}_{i'p'q'}$ , one can calculate the intersection number  $(\bar{L}_{ipq}, \bar{L}_{i'p'q'})'$  as follows:

- If i = i', then it is 0.
- If  $i \neq i'$ , then it is  $\sum_{\bar{q} \in G/K_i} (\bar{g}(L_{ipq}) \cdot L_{i'p'q'})'$ .

4.2. Factoring the quotient map and the minimal resolution. The only singularities of  $Y_{\varphi}$  are at distinguished points. Let us describe the local situation over  $P_{ij}$ .

A linear automorphism g of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is called a reflexion if the set  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^g$  of fixed points is a hyperplane, and a finite group with a faithful linear action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is called small if it has no reflexion. It is known that the quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by any action of a finite group is isomorphic to the quotient by a small group as a singularity. Let us give a detailed description for the case at hand.

**Definition 4.5.** With the notations of Definition 4.2, write h for  $h_{ij}$ .

Identify  $\pi_1(U_{ij} \setminus B)$  with  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  by sending loops around  $B_i$  and  $B_j$  to (1,0) and (0,1), and let  $\tilde{H} = h^{-1}(G)$ .

Let a, b, c and d be determined as follows: a and b are maximal positive integers such that  $\tilde{H} \subseteq a\mathbb{Z} \times b\mathbb{Z}$  and c and d are minimal positive integers such that  $\tilde{H} \supseteq c\mathbb{Z} \times d\mathbb{Z}$ .

Write  $H_0 = h(c\mathbb{Z} \times d\mathbb{Z}), H_1 = h(\tilde{H}) = H_{ij}, H_2 = h(a\mathbb{Z} \times b\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_3 = h(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}).$ 

- **Lemma 4.6.** (1) We have c = an and d = bn for a positive integer n. Mapping by  $s : a\mathbb{Z} \times b\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; (k, l) \mapsto (k/a, l/b)$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \supset s(\tilde{H}) \supset$  $s(c\mathbb{Z} \times d\mathbb{Z}) = n\mathbb{Z} \times n\mathbb{Z}, s(\tilde{H}) = (n\mathbb{Z} \times n\mathbb{Z}) + \mathbb{Z}(q, 1)$  with  $0 \le q < n$  and gcd(n,q) = 1, and  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = s(\tilde{H}) + \mathbb{Z}(1, 0)$ . In particular,  $(a\mathbb{Z} \times b\mathbb{Z})/\tilde{H}$  and  $\tilde{H}/(c\mathbb{Z} \times d\mathbb{Z})$  are cyclic of order n. Furthermore, c and d divide m.
  - (2) Consider the following commutative diagram.



Over a neighborhood of  $P_{ij}$ , the quotients  $X_m/H_0$ ,  $X_m/H_2$  and  $X_m/H_3$ are smooth, the quotient maps  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are étale, and  $\pi_0$ ,  $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$  and  $\pi_3$ are given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^{m/c}, y^{m/d})$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^n, y^n)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^a, y^b)$ , where x = 0 and y = 0 are inverse images of  $B_i$  and  $B_j$ .

The map  $\pi_1$  is the quotient by the finite cyclic small action  $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_n^q x, \zeta_n y).$ 

*Proof.* Although most of the statements are proven in [3, Ch. III §5 (i)], we give a proof here for the reader's convenience.

(1) It is obvious that a divides c and b divides d. Write  $c = an_1$  and  $d = bn_2$ . Using the map s in the statement, we have  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \supset H' := s(\tilde{H}) \supset n_1 \mathbb{Z} \times n_2 \mathbb{Z}$ . The image of H' by the second projection is  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the assumption on b. Thus H' contains (q, 1) for some q, which can be assumed to satisfy  $0 \le q < n_1$ . For any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there can be at most one  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(x, y) \in H'$  and  $0 \leq x < n_1$ , by the assumption on c. This shows that  $H' = (n_1\mathbb{Z} \times n_2\mathbb{Z}) + \mathbb{Z}(q, 1)$ . If  $n' := \gcd(n_1, q)$  were greater than 1, then H' would be contained in  $n'\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , contrary to the assumption on a. Therefore q is prime to  $n_1$ . From the assumption on d and the fact that  $(0, n_1) = n_1(q, 1) - q(n_1, 0)$  is contained in H',  $n_2$  divides  $n_1$ . By symmetry, we have  $n_1 = n_2$ .

Since  $c\mathbb{Z} \times d\mathbb{Z} \supset \text{Ker} h = m\mathbb{Z} \times m\mathbb{Z}$ , c and d divide m.

(2) By Lemma 4.3(1b),  $H_1$  is the set of elements of  $\operatorname{Gal}(X_m/Y_{\varphi})$  which fix  $P_{ijp}$ . Similarly,  $H_3$  is the set of elements of  $\operatorname{Gal}(X_m/\mathbb{P}^2)$  which fix  $P_{ijp}$ . Therefore  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are étale, and the restriction of  $X_m \to X_m/H_3$  to the fibers over  $P_{ij}$  is one-toone. Take  $(x_i/x_k, x_j/x_k)$  and  $(y_i/y_k, y_j/y_k)$   $(k \neq i, j)$  as local coordinate systems on  $X_m$  and  $X_m/H_3$ , and the map  $\pi_3 \circ \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_0$  is written as  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^m, y^m)$ . The action of  $H_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  on  $X_m$  is given by  $(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \cdot (x, y) = (\zeta_m^p x, \zeta_m^q y)$ , and our description of quotient maps follows.

Thus for each point over  $P_{ij}$  we are in the following situation:  $X = \mathbb{C}^2 \to Z = \mathbb{C}^2$ is the  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ -quotient given by  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (z_1, z_2) = (x_1^n, x_2^n)$ , and  $X \to Y$  is the intermediate quotient by the action  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\zeta_n^q x_1, \zeta_n x_2)$ . The inverse images of  $B_i$  and  $B_j$  on Z are given by  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2 = 0$ . Let i' be such that  $\Lambda_{i'}$  passes through  $P_{ij}$ , and  $g = \gcd(a, b)$ , a = a'g and b = b'g. Then the inverse image of  $\Lambda_{i'}$ splits into g components of the form  $x^{a'} = ty^{b'}$  with different constants t.

The singularity Y can be described as follows.

- **Proposition 4.7.** (1) The singularity Y is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch-Jung singularity  $A_{n,q}$ . It is the normalization of  $Y': w^n = z_1 z_2^{n-q}$ , and the maps  $X \to Y'$  and  $Y' \to Z$  are given by  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (w, z_1, z_2) = (x_1 x_2^{n-q}, x_1^n, x_2^n)$  and  $(w, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1, z_2)$ .
  - (2) Define integers r and  $f_1, \ldots, f_r > 1$  by

$$n/q = f_1 - \frac{1}{f_2 - \frac{1}{f_3 - \dots - \frac{1}{f_r}}}$$

Then the minimal resolution  $\tilde{Y}$  of Y can be described as follows. There are r exceptional curves  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ , which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and which form a chain together with the strict transforms  $C_0$  and  $C_{r+1}$  of  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2 = 0$ . The self intersections are given by  $(C_i^2) = -f_i$ .

- (3)  $\det(C_i.C_j)_{i,j=1}^r = (-1)^r n.$
- (4) In a neighborhood of C<sub>i</sub> ∩ C<sub>i+1</sub>, Y has an affine open subset U<sub>i</sub> with coordinates (u<sub>i</sub>, v<sub>i</sub>) with the following properties. The curves C<sub>i</sub> and C<sub>i+1</sub> are defined by u<sub>i</sub> = 0 and v<sub>i</sub> = 0 respectively. The rational map X → Y and the morphism Y → Y' are given by (u<sub>i</sub>, v<sub>i</sub>) = (x<sub>1</sub><sup>μ<sub>i+1</sub>x<sub>2</sub><sup>-λ<sub>i+1</sub>, x<sub>1</sub><sup>-μ<sub>i</sub></sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>λ<sub>i</sub>) and (w, z<sub>1</sub>, z<sub>2</sub>) = (u<sub>i</sub><sup>λ<sub>i+(n-q)μ<sub>i</sub></sup>/<sub>n</sub> v<sub>i</sub><sup>λ<sub>i+1+(n-q)μ<sub>i+1</sub></sup>/<sub>n</sub>, u<sub>i</sub><sup>λ<sub>i</sub></sup>v<sub>i</sub><sup>λ<sub>i+1</sub>, u<sub>i</sub><sup>μ<sub>i</sub></sup>v<sub>i</sub><sup>μ<sub>i+1</sub>), where λ<sub>i</sub> and μ<sub>i</sub> are defined as follows. We set λ<sub>r+1</sub> = 0, λ<sub>r</sub> = 1, μ<sub>0</sub> = 0 and μ<sub>1</sub> = 1. For other values of i, they are the numerators of
  </sup></sup></sup></sup></sub></sup></sub></sup></sup>

$$f_{i+1} - \frac{1}{f_{i+2} - \frac{1}{f_{i+3} - \dots - \frac{1}{f_r}}}$$
 and  $f_{i-1} - \frac{1}{f_{i-2} - \frac{1}{f_{i-3} - \dots - \frac{1}{f_1}}}$ ,

respectively.

*Proof.* (1), (2) This is from [3, Ch. III §5], especially Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.3.

(3) can be proven inductively by expanding the determinant along the first column.

(4) One can regard X, Y and Z as affine toric varieties in the following way.

- X is associated to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and the cone  $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(1,0) + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(0,1)$ .
- Y is associated to the lattice  $N = \mathbb{Z}^2 + \mathbb{Z}_n^1(q, 1)$  and the cone  $\sigma$ .
- Z is associated to the lattice  $\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}\right)^2$  and the cone  $\sigma$ .

Vectors  $v_k = \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_k, \mu_k)$  decompose  $\sigma$  into a fan  $\Sigma$ . Let V be the toric variety associated to  $(N, \Sigma)$ . The equality  $\lambda_k \mu_{k+1} - \lambda_{k+1} \mu_k = n$  from [3, Ch. III §5 (5)] shows that V is nonsingular and that, if  $D_k$  denotes the toric divisor corresponding to  $v_k$ , the curves  $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{r+1}$  form a chain in this order. Since the map  $V \to Y$ has r exceptional divisors, V is isomorphic to  $\tilde{Y}$ , and since  $D_0$  corresponds to  $C_0$ ,  $D_k$  has to correspond to  $C_k$ . From this, our local description of  $\tilde{Y}$  follows.  $\Box$ 

Our intersection numbers can be calculated in the following way.

- Intersection numbers of the exceptional curves, including self intersection numbers, are directly given by the previous proposition.
- Write (C.D)'' for the intersection number on the inverse image of a distinguished point. One can write down the local equations for the total and strict transforms of the distinguished or boundary curves, and calculate (C.D)'' in the case C is exceptional and D is distinguished or boundary, or C and D are distinct distinguished or boundary curves.
- We are left with self intersection numbers of distinguished or boundary curves. They can be calculated from other intersection numbers. For example, one can write  $\psi^* \Lambda_i$  in the form  $\bar{L}_{ipq} + \sum \bar{L}_{ip'q'} + \sum m_k C_k$ , where (p',q') runs a certain set of indices and  $C_k$  are exceptional curves of the resolution. Then we have  $(\bar{L}_{ipq}^2) = \deg(\bar{L}_{ipq} \rightarrow \Lambda_i) \sum (\bar{L}_{ipq}, \bar{L}_{ip'q'}) \sum m_k (\bar{L}_{ipq}, C_k)$

## 5. Examples

A  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branching along B is given by a homomorphism

$$\gamma: \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B, \tilde{b}) \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

and hence by

$$a(\gamma) := [\gamma(\beta_0), \gamma(\beta_1), \gamma(\beta_2), \gamma(\beta_3)] \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^4$$

An element  $a = [a_0, \ldots, a_3] \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^4$  with  $\sum a_i = 0$  corresponds to a cyclic covering of degree *m* if and only if  $a_0, \ldots, a_3$  generate  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . If such quadruples  $a(\gamma)$  and  $a(\gamma')$  are contained in the same orbit of  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^4$  under the action of the permutation group  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  of the components and the diagonal action by  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ , then the corresponding coverings are topologically equivalent.

Below is the table of the data for all topological equivalence classes of the cyclic coverings of degree d = 12, where

 $d^{\perp} := \operatorname{disc} \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp} = \operatorname{disc} \overline{\mathcal{L}}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi}),$ 

which has turned out to be equal to disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$ , and

$$d_{\Lambda} := \operatorname{disc} \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$$

The column  $\operatorname{rk}^{\perp}$  denotes the rank of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$ , and  $p_g$  denotes the geometric genus of  $X_{\varphi}$ . Note that  $\operatorname{rk}^{\perp}$  and  $p_g$  does not depend on the choice of the resolution  $\rho$ , and that the signature of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})^{\perp}$  is equal to  $(p_g, \operatorname{rk}^{\perp} - p_g)$ .

# Example 5.1.

No.	a	$d^{\perp}$	$d_{\Lambda}$	$\mathrm{rk}^{\perp}$	$p_g$
1	[0, 0, 1, 11]	1	1	0	0
2	$\left[0,1,1,10 ight]$	1	$(2)^4(3)^4$	0	0
3	[0, 1, 2, 9]	1	$(2)^4$	0	0
4	$\left[0,1,3,8 ight]$	1	1	0	0
5	[0, 1, 4, 7]	1	$(3)^4$	0	0
6	[0, 1, 5, 6]	1	$(2)^4$	0	0
7	[1, 1, 1, 9]	$(2)^2(3)$	$(2)^{10}(3)^5$	8	3
8	[1, 1, 2, 8]	$(2)^4(3)$	$(2)^8(3)^5$	6	2
9	[1, 1, 3, 7]	$(3)^{3}$	$(2)^6(3)^7$	6	2
10	[1, 1, 4, 6]	1	$(2)^4(3)^4$	4	1
11	[1, 1, 5, 5]	$(2)^{6}$	$(2)^{14}(3)^4$	6	3
12	[1, 1, 11, 11]	1	$(2)^6(3)^4$	0	0
13	[1, 2, 2, 7]	$(2)^4(3)^3$	$(2)^{10}(3)^7$	6	3
14	[1, 2, 3, 6]	$(2)^2(3)^2$	$(2)^8(3)^2$	4	1
15	[1, 2, 4, 5]	$(2)^4$	$(2)^8(3)^4$	4	1
16	[1, 2, 10, 11]	1	$(2)^6(3)^4$	0	0
17	$\left[1,3,3,5 ight]$	$(2)^4(3)^2$	$(2)^{10}(3)^2$	4	2
18	$\left[1,3,4,4 ight]$	$(3)^{3}$	$(3)^{7}$	6	1
19	$\left[1,3,9,11 ight]$	1	$(2)^{6}$	0	0
20	[1, 3, 10, 10]	$(3)^{3}$	$(2)^6(3)^7$	6	1
21	[1, 4, 8, 11]	1	$(3)^4$	0	0
22	[1, 4, 9, 10]	(3)	$(2)^4(3)^5$	6	0
23	[1, 5, 7, 11]	1	$(2)^6(3)^4$	0	0
24	$\left[1,5,9,9 ight]$	$(2)^{6}$	$(2)^{14}$	6	1
25	[1, 6, 6, 11]	1	$(2)^{6}$	0	0
26	[1, 6, 7, 10]	$(3)^2$	$(2)^6(3)^6$	4	0
27	$\left[1, 6, 8, 9 ight]$	$(2)^2$	$(2)^{6}$	4	0
28	$\left[1,7,8,8 ight]$	$(2)^4(3)^3$	$(2)^4(3)^7$	6	1
29	$\left[2,3,3,4\right]$	$(2)^4$	$(2)^{8}$	4	1
30	[2, 3, 9, 10]	1	$(2)^{6}$	0	0
31	$\left[3,4,8,9 ight]$	1	1	0	0

# 6. Miscellaneous facts

In this section, we will show the primitivity of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  in two special cases. Let  $a_0, \ldots, a_3$  be as in the previous section.

- 6.1. Case  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $a_i + a_j \equiv 0$ .
- **Proposition 6.1.** (1) If  $a_i \equiv 0 \mod m$ , then  $X_{\varphi}$  can be seen as a toric surface in such a way that the support of  $\psi^*(B B_i)$  is the complement of the big orbit.

(2) If  $a_i + a_j \equiv 0 \mod m$  (i < j), then  $X_{\varphi}$  can be seen as a toric surface in such a way that the support of  $\psi^*(B + \Lambda_{i'})$  contains the complement of the big orbit, where i' is chosen so that  $\Lambda_{i'}$  passes through  $P_{ij}$ .

Consequently, we have  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi}) = \mathrm{NS}(X_{\varphi}) = H^2(X_{\varphi})$  in these cases.

*Proof.* (1) We may assume i = 3, and then the cover is in fact branched only along  $B_0, B_1$  and  $B_2$ . The projective plane can be seen as the toric surface associated to the lattice  $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and the fan  $\Sigma$  whose 1-skeletons are  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(1,0)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(0,1)$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(-1,-1)$ , and  $Y_{\varphi}$  is the toric surface associated to the sublattice  $\{(k,l) \in N | a_0 k + a_1 l \equiv 0 \mod m\}$  and the same fan  $\Sigma$ .

(2) We may assume i = 0, j = 1 and hence i' = 1. Let  $S = \text{Bl}_{P_{01}, P_{23}} \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $f = \text{bl}_{P_{01}, P_{23}} : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ . Then  $(f^{-1})_* \Lambda_1$  is a (-1)-curve, so let  $g : S \to T$  be the blowdown and  $B_T = g(f^{-1}(B + \Lambda_1))$ . We observe the following.

- The surface T is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . The curves  $g((f^{-1})_*B_0), g((f^{-1})_*B_1)$ and  $g(f^{-1}(P_{23}))$  belong to one ruling, and  $g((f^{-1})_*B_2), g((f^{-1})_*B_3)$  and  $g(f^{-1}(P_{01}))$  to the other ruling.
- $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (B + \Lambda_1)$  is isomorphic to  $T \setminus B_T$  via f and g, and the covering  $Y_{\varphi} \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B + \Lambda_1) \to T \setminus B_T$  is unramified along  $g(f^{-1}(P_{01}))$  and  $g(f^{-1}(P_{23}))$ .

Therefore  $Y_{\varphi} \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B + \Lambda_1)$  is contained in a cover of  $(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (2 \text{ points})) \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (2 \text{ points}))$ , and we have the assertion.  $\Box$ 

## 6.2. Case *m* is a prime number.

**Proposition 6.2.** Assume the following.

- (a) m = p is a prime number,
- (b)  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \mod p \text{ for any } i, and$
- (c)  $a_i + a_j \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  for any  $i \neq j$ .

Then the discriminant of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  is  $p^7$  and the discriminant of  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  is p. Hence  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  is primitive when m is a prime number.

Proof. Let i, j and k satisfy  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and j < k, and consider the homomorphism  $k_i$  in Definition 4.2. The composite  $\gamma \circ k_i$  is given by  $n \mapsto n(a_0 + a_i)$ mod p, and by assumption (c), the group  $K_i$  in Definition 4.2 is trivial. Thus there is only one distinguished curve  $\bar{L}_{i00}$  over  $\Lambda_i$ , with mapping degree p, hence there is only one distinguished point  $\bar{P}_{0i0}$  (resp.  $\bar{P}_{jk0}$ ) over  $P_{0i}$  (resp.  $P_{jk}$ ). Denoting the exceptional curves of the minimal resolutions at  $\bar{P}_{0i0}$  and  $\bar{P}_{jk0}$  by  $C_{0i\alpha}$  and  $C_{jk\alpha}$ , we have  $\psi^*\Lambda_i = \bar{L}_{i00} + \sum m_\alpha C_{0i\alpha} + \sum m'_\alpha C_{jk\alpha}$  for some integers  $m_\alpha$  and  $m'_\alpha$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) = \mathbb{Z}L \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \le i < j \le 3} (\bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{Z}C_{ij\alpha})$ , where L denotes the pullback of a line on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}L$  and  $\bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{Z}C_{ij\alpha}$  for different (i, j) are orthogonal to each other, we have disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) = \operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{Z}L) \prod_{0 \le i < j \le 3} \operatorname{disc}(\bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{Z}C_{ij\alpha})$ . Let us look at the resolution at  $P_{ij}$ . Let  $h_{ij}$  be as in Definition 4.2, and then  $\gamma \circ h_{ij}$ is given by  $(k, l) \mapsto a_i k + a_j l \mod p$ . The group  $\tilde{H}$  in Definition 4.5 is equal to Ker  $\gamma \circ h_{ij}$ , and our assumptions imply  $\tilde{H} = (p\mathbb{Z} \times p\mathbb{Z}) + \mathbb{Z}(q, 1)$  for some q with 0 < q < p. Thus the singularity  $P_{ij}$  is of type  $A_{p,q}$ , and we have disc  $\bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{Z}C_{ij\alpha} = p$ by Proposition 4.7(3). Hence disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) = p^7$ .

by Proposition 4.7(3). Hence disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) = p^7$ . By assumption (b), we have  $\psi^* B_0 = pR_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 D_i$ , where  $R_0$  is mapped isomorphically onto  $B_0$  and the support of  $D_i$  is  $\psi^{-1}(P_{0i})$ . Define  $w : \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$  by  $C \mapsto ((C.D_i) \mod p)_{i=1}^3$ .

Since L is the pullback of a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , w(L) is obviously 0. For an exceptional curve C, we have  $(C.D_i) = 0$  if  $\psi(C) \neq P_{0i}$ . If  $\psi(C) = P_{0i}$ , then  $(C.D_i) = 0$ 

 $(\psi^*B_0.C) - (pR_0.C) - \sum_{j \neq i} (D_j.C) = -p(R_0.C) \equiv 0 \mod p$ . Therefore the sublattice  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))$  is contained in Ker w. On the other hand, since the ramification curve  $R_i$  over  $B_i$  is mapped isomorphically onto  $B_i$ , we have  $(R_i.D_i) \equiv$  $(R_i.\psi^*B_0) = 1 \mod p$ . It is obvious that  $(R_i.D_j)$  is 0 if  $i \neq j$ . Thus there is a surjective homomorphism  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})/\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ , and it follows that disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda))/\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi})$  is a square number which is a multiple of  $p^6$ . Since disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, \psi^*(\Lambda)) = p^7$ , we have disc  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varphi}, D_{\varphi}) = p$ .  $\Box$ 

### References

- N. Aoki and T. Shioda. Generators of the Néron-Severi group of a Fermat surface. In Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. I, volume 35 of Progr. Math., pages 1–12. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [2] K. Arima and I. Shimada. Zariski-van Kampen method and transcendental lattices of certain singular K3 surfaces. Tokyo J. Math., 32(1):201–227, 2009.
- [3] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, and A. Van de Ven. Compact complex surfaces, volume 4 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [4] A. Degtyarev. Transcendental lattice of an extremal elliptic surface, 2009. preprint, arXiv:0907.1809v3.
- [5] T. Shioda, M. Schuett and R. van Luijk. Lines on fermat surfaces, 2008. preprint, arXiv:0812.2377.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY 1-3-1 KAGAMIYAMA, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA, 739-8526 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* shimada@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 JAPAN

*E-mail address*: takahasi@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp