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- We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.
- The coefficients of the (co-)homology groups are in $\mathbb{Z}$.
- By a lattice, we mean a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\Lambda$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$
\Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

- A lattice $\Lambda$ is said to be even if $(v, v) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for any $v \in \Lambda$.


## §1. Conjugate varieties

An affine algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$ is defined by a finite number of polynomial equations:

$$
X: f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\cdots=f_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=0
$$

Let $c_{j, I} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the coefficients of the polynomial $f_{j}$ :

$$
f_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\sum_{I} c_{j, I} x^{I}, \quad \text { where } \quad x^{I}=x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{N}^{i_{N}} .
$$

We then denote by

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{X}:=\mathbb{Q}\left(\ldots, c_{j, I}, \ldots\right) \subset \mathbb{C}
$$

the minimal sub-field of $\mathbb{C}$ containing all the coefficients of the defining equations of $\boldsymbol{X}$.

There are many other embeddings

$$
\sigma: \boldsymbol{F}_{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

of the field $\boldsymbol{F}_{X}$ into $\mathbb{C}$.

Example.
(1) If $F_{X}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, t)$, where $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$, then the set of embeddings $\boldsymbol{F}_{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is equal to $\{\sqrt{2},-\sqrt{2}\} \times\{$ transcendental complex numbers $\}$.
(2) If all $c_{j, I}$ are algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$, then the set of embeddings is finite, and the Galois group of the Galois closure of the algebraic extension $\boldsymbol{F}_{X} / \mathbb{Q}$ acts on the set transitively.

For an embedding $\sigma: \boldsymbol{F}_{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we put

$$
f_{j}^{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right):=\sum_{I} c_{j, I}^{\sigma} x^{I}
$$

and denote by $X^{\sigma} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$ the affine algebraic variety defined by

$$
f_{1}^{\sigma}=\cdots=f_{m}^{\sigma}=0
$$

We can define $X^{\sigma}$ for a projective or quasi-projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ in the same way.
(Replace "polynomials" by "homogeneous polynomials".)

Definition.
We say that two algebraic varieties $X$ and $Y$ are said to be conjugate if there exists an embedding $\sigma: F_{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is isomorphic (over $\mathbb{C}$ ) to $X^{\sigma}$.

In the language of schemes, two varieties $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ over Spec $\mathbb{C}$ are conjugate if there exists a diagram

of the fiber product for some morphism $\sigma^{*}: \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$.

It is obvious that being conjugate is an equivalence relation.

## §2. Topology of conjugate varieties

Conjugate varieties cannot be distinguished by any algebraic methods.

In particular, they are homeomorphic in Zariski topology.

How about in the complex topology?

Example (Serre (1964)).
There exist conjugate non-singular projective varieties $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $X^{\sigma}$ such that their fundamental groups are not isomorphic:

$$
\pi_{1}(X) \neq \pi_{1}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)
$$

In particular, they are not homotopically equivalent.

Other examples of non-homeomorphic conjugate varieties.
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## Main result.

We introduce a new topological invariant

$$
\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right)
$$

of open algebraic varieties $U$, which allows us to distinguish conjugate varieties topologically in some cases.

Combining this topological invariant with the arithmetic theory of abelian surfaces and $K 3$ surfaces, we obtain examples of nonhomeomorphic conjugate varieties.

Our examples are as follows:

- Zariski open subsets of abelian surfaces.
- Zariski open subsets of K3 surfaces.
- Arithmetic Zariski pairs in degree 6.


## §3. Arithmetic Zariski pairs

Definition.
A pair $\left[C, C^{\prime}\right]$ of complex projective plane curves is said to be a Zariski pair if the following hold:
(i) There exist tubular neighborhoods $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $C$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $C^{\prime}$ such that ( $\mathcal{T}, C$ ) and ( $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ ) are diffeomorphic.
(ii) $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C^{\prime}\right)$ are not homeomorphic.

## Example.

The first example of Zariski pair was discovered by Zariski in 1930's, and studied by Oka. They presented a Zariski pair [ $C, C^{\prime}$ ] of plane curves of degree 6 , each of which has six ordinary cusps as its only singularities. The fact $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C^{\prime}\right)$ are not homeomorphic follows from

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) *(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}
$$

Definition.
A Zariski pair $\left[C, C^{\prime}\right]$ is said to be an arithmetic Zariski pair if the following hold.
Suppose that $C=\{\Phi=0\}$. Then there exists an embedding $\sigma: F_{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $C^{\prime}$ is isomorphic (as a plane curve) to

$$
C^{\sigma}:=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} .
$$

Remark.
The Zariski pair of Zariski and Oka is not an arithmetic Zariski pair, because the pro-finite completion of

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) *(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}
$$

are not isomorphic; there exists a surjective homomorphism from $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right)$ to the symmetric group $S_{3}$ on three letters, while there are no such homomorphism from $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark.
The first example of an arithmetic Zariski pair was discovered by Artal, Carmona, Cogolludo (2007) in degree 12.

They used the invariant of braid monodromies in order to distinguish $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C^{\prime}\right)$ topologically.

Example (Artal, Carmona, Cogolludo (2002)).
We consider the following cubic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$
K:=\mathbb{Q}[t] /(\varphi), \quad \text { where } \quad \varphi=17 t^{3}-18 t^{2}-228 t+556
$$

The roots of $\varphi=0$ are $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \beta$, where

$$
\alpha=2.590 \cdots+1.108 \cdots \sqrt{-1}, \quad \beta=-4.121 \cdots
$$

There are three corresponding embeddings

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma_{\bar{\alpha}}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{\beta}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} .
$$

There exists a homogeneous polynomial

$$
\Phi\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in K\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]
$$

of degree 6 with coefficients in $K$ such that the plane curve

$$
C=\{\Phi=0\}
$$

has three simple singular points of type

$$
A_{16}+A_{2}+A_{1}
$$

as its only singularities. Consider the conjugate plane curves

$$
C_{\alpha}=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma_{\alpha}}=0\right\}, \quad C_{\bar{\alpha}}=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}}}=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{\beta}=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma_{\beta}}=0\right\}
$$

They show that, if $C^{\prime}$ is a plane curve possessing $\boldsymbol{A}_{16}+\boldsymbol{A}_{2}+\boldsymbol{A}_{1}$ as its only singularities, then $C^{\prime}$ is projectively isomorphic to $C_{\alpha}, C_{\bar{\alpha}}$ or $C_{\beta}$.

Since simple singularities have no moduli, there are tubular neighborhoods $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $C_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $C_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\left(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}, C_{\alpha}\right)$ is diffeomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{T}_{\beta}, C_{\beta}\right)$.

Using the new topological invariant, we can show that there are no homeomorphisms between $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C_{\beta}\right)$.

Let $Y_{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the double covering branching exactly along the curve $C: \Phi=0$, and $U \subset Y_{C}$ the pull-back of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C$. Then $U$ is a variety defined over $K$. Consider the conjugate open varieties $U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\beta}$ corresponding to the embeddings $\sigma_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{\beta}$. Then the topological invariants

$$
\left(B_{U_{\alpha}}, \beta_{U_{\alpha}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(B_{U_{\beta}}, \beta_{U_{\beta}}\right)
$$

differ.
Hence $\left[C_{\alpha}, C_{\beta}\right]$ is an arithmetic Zariski pair in degree 6.

## §4. The topological invariant

Let $U$ be an oriented topological manifold of dimension $4 n$. Let

$$
\iota_{U}: H_{2 n}(U) \times H_{2 n}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

be the intersection pairing.

Definition.
We put

$$
J_{\infty}(U):=\bigcap_{K} \operatorname{Im}\left(H_{2 n}(U \backslash K) \rightarrow H_{2 n}(U)\right)
$$

where $K$ runs through the set of all compact subsets of $U$. We then put

$$
\widetilde{B}_{U}:=\boldsymbol{H}_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{U}) / J_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{U}) \quad \text { and } \quad B_{U}:=\left(\widetilde{B}_{U}\right) / \text { torsion. }
$$

Since any topological cycle is compact, the intersection pairing $\iota_{U}$ induces a symmetric bilinear form

$$
\beta_{U}: B_{U} \times B_{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

It is obvious that, if $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic, then there exists an isomorphism

$$
\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right) \cong\left(B_{U^{\prime}}, \beta_{U^{\prime}}\right),
$$

and hence the isomorphism class of $\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right)$ is a topological invariant of $\boldsymbol{U}$.

We study the invariant $\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right)$ for an open algebraic variety

$$
U:=X \backslash Y
$$

where $X$ is a non-singular projective variety of complex dimension $2 n$, and $Y$ is a union of irreducible (possibly singular) subvarieties $Y_{1} \ldots, Y_{N}$ of complex dimension $n$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}=Y_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Y_{N} .
$$

We denote by

$$
\widetilde{\Sigma}_{(X, Y)}:=\left\langle\left[Y_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\boldsymbol{Y}_{N}\right]\right\rangle \subset \boldsymbol{H}_{2 n}(X)
$$

the submodule of $H_{2 n}(X)$ generated by the homology classes $\left[Y_{i}\right] \in H_{2 n}(X)$, and put

$$
\Sigma_{(X, Y)}:=\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{(X, Y)}\right) / \text { torsion } .
$$

We then put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\Lambda}_{(X, Y)}:=\left\{x \in H_{2 n}(X) \mid \iota_{X}(x, y)=0 \text { for any } y \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{(X, Y)}\right\}, \\
& \Lambda_{(X, Y)}:=\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(X, Y)}\right) / \text { torsion } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{(X, Y)}: \Sigma_{(X, Y)} \times \Sigma_{(X, Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \\
& \lambda_{(X, Y)}: \Lambda_{(X, Y)} \times \Lambda_{(X, Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

the symmetric bilinear forms induced from the intersection pairing

$$
\iota_{X}: H_{2 n}(X) \times H_{2 n}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

## Theorem.

Let $X, Y$ and $U$ be as above. Suppose that $\sigma_{(X, Y)}$ is nondegenerate. Then $\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\Lambda_{(X, Y)}, \lambda_{(X, Y)}\right)$.

Sketch of the proof.
We consider the homomorphism

$$
j_{U}: H_{2 n}(U) \rightarrow H_{2 n}(X)
$$

induced by the inclusion. It is obvious that the image of $j_{U}$ is contained in $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(X, Y)}$. We first show that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{U}\right)=\tilde{\Lambda}_{(X, Y)} .
$$

Let a homology class

$$
[W] \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_{(X, Y)}
$$

be represented by a real $2 n$-dimensional topological cycle $W$. We can assume that $W \cap Y$ consists of a finite number of points in $Y \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(Y)$, and that the intersection of $W$ with $Y$ is transverse at each intersection point.

Let $P_{i, 1}, \ldots, P_{i, k(i)}$ (resp. $Q_{i, 1}, \ldots, Q_{i, l(i)}$ ) be the intersection points of $W$ and $Y_{i}$ with local intersection number 1 (resp. -1). Since $\iota_{X}\left([W],\left[Y_{i}\right]\right)=0$, we have

$$
k(i)=l(i)
$$

Modifying $W$ by adding the tube

$$
\partial\left(D^{2 n} \times I\right)
$$

for each pair ( $P_{i, j}, Q_{i, j}$ ), we obtain a topological cycle $W^{\prime}$ that is homologous to $W$ in $X$ and is disjoint from $\boldsymbol{Y}$. Hence $[W]=$ [ $\left.\boldsymbol{W}^{\prime}\right]$ is represented by $\boldsymbol{W}^{\prime} \subset \boldsymbol{U}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{U}\right)=\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(X, Y)}
$$

holds.

Figure

Since $\boldsymbol{X}$ is non-singular and complete, the intersection pairing $\iota_{X}$ on $H_{2 n}(X) /$ torsion is non-degenerate. Hence the assumption that $\sigma_{(X, Y)}$ is non-degenerate implies that $\lambda_{(X, Y)}$ is non-degenerate.

Using Mayer-Vietris sequence, we can prove

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{U}\right) \subseteq J_{\infty}(U)
$$

from the assumption that $\lambda_{(X, Y)}$ is non-degenerate.

By the commutative diagram

we obtain the isomorphism $\left(\Lambda_{(X, Y)}, \lambda_{(X, Y)}\right) \cong\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right)$.

## §5. Transcendental lattices

Let $X$ be a non-singular projective variety of dimension $2 n$. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$
H_{2 n}(X) / \text { torsion } \cong H^{2 n}(X) / \text { torsion }
$$

that transforms $\iota_{X}$ to the cup-product $(,)_{X}$. Let

$$
S_{X} \subset H^{2 n}(X) / \text { torsion }
$$

be the submodule generated by the classes [ $Z$ ] of irreducible subvarieties $Z$ of $X$ with codimension $n$; that is, $S_{X}$ is the space of algebraic cycles in the middle dimension. We then denote by

$$
s_{X}: S_{X} \times S_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

the restriction of $(,)_{X}$ to $S_{X}$.

By the theory of Lefschetz decomposition and Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations, we see that $s_{X}$ is non-degenerate.

## Proposition.

Let $X$ and $X^{\sigma}$ be conjugate non-singular projective varieties. Then the map $[Z] \mapsto\left[Z^{\sigma}\right]$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\left(S_{X}, s_{X}\right) \cong\left(S_{X^{\sigma}}, s_{X^{\sigma}}\right)
$$

In other words, $\left(S_{X}, s_{X}\right)$ is algebraic.

Definition.
We define the transcendental lattice $T_{X}$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$ to be the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module

$$
T_{X}:=\left\{x \in H^{2 n}(X) / \text { torsion } \mid(x, y)_{X}=0 \text { for any } y \in S_{X}\right\} .
$$

## Theorem.

Let $X$ be a non-singular projective variety of dimension $2 n$. Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{N}$ be irreducible subvarieties of $X$ with codimension $n$ whose classes $\left[Y_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[Y_{N}\right]$ span $S_{X} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We put

$$
Y:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad U:=X \backslash Y .
$$

Then the transcendental lattice $T_{X}$ of $X$ is isomorphic to the topological invariant $\left(B_{U}, \beta_{U}\right)$ of $U$.

Corollary.
Let $X$ and $X^{\sigma}$ be conjugate non-singular projective varieties of dimension $2 n$. Let $Y \subset X$ and $U \subset X$ be as above. If $T_{X^{\sigma}}$ is not isomorphic to $T_{X}$, then $U^{\sigma}=X^{\sigma} \backslash Y^{\sigma}$ is not homeomorphic to $U$.

## $\S 6$. Genus theory of lattices

Definition.
Two lattices

$$
\lambda: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda^{\prime}: \Lambda^{\prime} \times \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

are said to be in the same genus if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}: \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} \quad \text { and } \\
& \lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}: \Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

are isomorphic for any $p$ including $p=\infty$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{\infty}=\mathbb{R}$.

Let $X$ be a non-singular projective variety of dimension $2 n$. Recall that $S_{X}$ is the submodule of $H^{2 n}(X) /$ torsion generated by the algebraic cycles. We consider the following condition:
(P) The submodule $S_{X}$ is primitive in $H^{2 n}(X) /$ torsion; that is, the quotient $\left(H^{2 n}(X) /\right.$ torsion $) / S_{X}$ is torsion-free.

Remark.
The condition ( P ) is satisfied for $X$ if the integral Hodge conjecture

$$
S_{X}=H^{2 n}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{n, n}(X)
$$

is true for $X$. In particular, the condition ( P ) is satisfied if $\operatorname{dim} X=2$. There exists, however, a counter-example for ( P ) in higher-dimension. (Atiyah-Hirzebruch (1962).)

## Theorem.

Let $X$ and $X^{\sigma}$ be conjugate non-singular projective varieties of dimension $2 n$. Suppose that ( P ) holds for both of $X$ and $X^{\sigma}$. Then the transcendental lattices $T_{X}$ and $T_{X^{\sigma}}$ are contained in the same genus.

Let $X$ be a surface. Then $T_{X}$ and $T_{X^{\sigma}}$ are contained in the same genus. Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{N}$ be irreducible curves of $X$ whose classes span $S_{X} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We put

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad U:=X \backslash \boldsymbol{Y} .
$$

If $T_{X}$ and $T_{X^{\sigma}}$ are not isomorphic, then $U$ and $U^{\sigma}$ are not homeomorphic.

By the classical theory of Gauss

> Disquisitiones arithmeticae,
we have a complete theory of the decomposition of the set of isomorphism classes of lattices of rank 2 (binary lattices) into the disjoint union of genera.

Example.
Two binary lattices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 4 \\
4 & 22
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{cc}
6 & 0 \\
0 & 34
\end{array}\right]
$$

are not isomorphic, but in the same genus.

## Problem.

Can one find a surface $X$ and $\sigma: \boldsymbol{F}_{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
T_{X} \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 4 \\
4 & 22
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T_{X^{\sigma}} \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
6 & 0 \\
0 & 34
\end{array}\right] ?
$$

## §7. Singular K3 surfaces

Let $X$ be a $K 3$ surface; that is, a simply-connected surface with $K_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Then $H^{2}(X)$ is a unimodular lattice of rank 22 with signature $(3,19)$.

Definition.
A $K 3$ surface $X$ is said to be singular if the rank of the transcendental lattice

$$
T(X):=T_{X}
$$

is 2 (the possible minimum).

The transcendental lattice $T(X)$ of a singular $K 3$ surface $X$ is positive-definite. Moreover, by the Hodge decomposition

$$
T(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X)
$$

this lattice has a canonical orientation. We denote by $\widetilde{T}(X)$ the oriented transcendental lattice of $\boldsymbol{X}$.

## Definition.

We denote by

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\left\{\begin{array}{c|l}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right]} & \begin{array}{l}
a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a>0, c>0, \\
4 a c-b^{2}>0
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} / G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

the set of isomorphism classes of even positive-definite binary lattices, and by

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}:=\left\{\begin{array}{c|}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right] \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a>0, c>0, \\
4 a c-b^{2}>0
\end{array}\right.}
\end{array}\right\} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

the set of isomorphism classes of even positive-definite oriented binary lattices.

For a singular $K 3$ surface $X$, we denote by

$$
[\widetilde{T}(X)] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}
$$

the isomorphism class of the oriented transcendental lattice $\widetilde{T}(X)$ of $X$.

Theorem (Shioda and Inose).
The map $X \mapsto[\widetilde{T}(X)]$ induces a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of complex singular K3 surfaces to the set of isomorphism classes of even, positive-definite oriented binary lattices.

Shioda and Inose also gave an explicit construction of a singular $K 3$ surface $X$ with a given oriented transcendental lattice.

Suppose that

$$
\widetilde{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } \quad d:=b^{2}-4 a c<0
$$

is given. We put

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E^{\prime}:=\mathbb{C} /\left(\mathbb{Z}+\tau^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right), \quad \text { where } & \tau^{\prime}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a}, \quad \text { and } \\
E:=\mathbb{C} /(\mathbb{Z}+\tau \mathbb{Z}), \quad \text { where } \quad \tau=\frac{b+\sqrt{d}}{2},
\end{array}
$$

and consider the abelian surface

$$
A:=E^{\prime} \times E .
$$

Theorem (Shioda and Mitani).
The oriented transcendental lattice $\widetilde{T}(A)$ of the abelian surface $A$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}$.

We then consider the Kummer surface

$$
\operatorname{Km}(A) .
$$

Shioda and Inose showed that, on $\operatorname{Km}(A)$, there exist reduced effective divisors $C$ and $\Theta$ such that
(1) $C=C_{1}+\cdots+C_{8}$ and $\Theta=\Theta_{1}+\cdots+\Theta_{8}$ are disjoint,
(2) $C$ is an $A D E$-configuration of (-2)-curves of type $\mathbb{E}_{8}$,
(3) $\Theta$ is an $A D E$-configuration of (-2)-curves of type $8 \mathbb{A}_{1}$,
(4) there exists a class $[\mathcal{L}] \in \operatorname{NS}(\operatorname{Km}(A))$ such that $2[\mathcal{L}]=[\Theta]$.

Let

$$
\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Km}(A)
$$

be the double covering branched exactly along $\Theta$, and let

$$
Y \leftarrow \tilde{Y}
$$

be the contraction of the ( -1 )-curves on $\tilde{Y}$ (that is, the inverse images of $\Theta_{1}, \ldots, \Theta_{8}$ ).

Theorem (Shioda and Inose).
The surface $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is a singular $K 3$ surface, and the diagram

$$
Y \longleftarrow \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Km}(A) \longleftarrow \widetilde{A} \longrightarrow A
$$

induces an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{T}(Y) \cong \widetilde{T}(A)(\cong \widetilde{T})
$$

of the oriented transcendental lattices.

Using this construction and the classical theory of complex multiplication in the class field theory, S.- and M. Schütt proved the following:

Theorem (S.- and M. Schütt).
Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be a genus of even positive-definite lattices of rank 2 , and let

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}
$$

be the pull-back of $\mathcal{G}$ by the natural projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Then there exists a singular $K 3$ surface $X$ defined over a number field $F$ such that the set

$$
\left\{\left[\widetilde{T}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)\right] \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(F, \mathbb{C})\right\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}
$$

coincides with the oriented genus $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, where $\operatorname{Emb}(\boldsymbol{F}, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of embeddings of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$.

Corollary.
Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be singular $K 3$ surfaces. If their transcendental lattices are in the same genus, then they are conjugate.

Corollary.
Consider two oriented lattices

$$
\widetilde{T}_{1} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{T}_{2} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}
$$

Suppose that their underlying (non-oriented) lattices are not isomorphic but in the same genus. Let $X$ be a singular $K 3$ surface such that $\widetilde{T}(X) \cong \widetilde{T}_{1}$, and let $X^{\sigma}$ be a singular $K 3$ surface conjugate to $X$ such that $\widetilde{T}\left(X^{\sigma}\right) \cong \widetilde{T}_{2}$.
We choose a divisor $D$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$ such that the classes of the irreducible components of $D$ span $S_{X} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We put

$$
U:=X \backslash D
$$

and let $U^{\sigma} \subset X^{\sigma}$ be the Zariski open subset corresponding to $U$. Then $U$ and $U^{\sigma}$ are not homeomorphic.
§8. Arithmetic Zariski pairs of maximizing sextics

Definition.
A plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree 6 is called a maximizing sextic if $C$ has only simple singularities and the total Milnor number of $C$ attains the possible maximum 19.

If $C$ is a maximizing sextic, then the minimal resolution $X_{C} \rightarrow$ $Y_{C}$ of the double covering $Y_{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ branching exactly along $C$ is a singular $K 3$ surface. We denote by $T[C]$ the transcendental lattice of $X_{C}$.

Let

$$
\boldsymbol{R}=\sum a_{l} \boldsymbol{A}_{l}+\sum d_{m} \boldsymbol{D}_{m}+\sum e_{n} \boldsymbol{E}_{n}
$$

be an $A D E$-type such that

$$
\sum a_{l} l+\sum d_{m} m+\sum e_{n} n=19
$$

Using the surjectivity of the period map for $K 3$ surfaces, we can determine whether there exists a maximizing sextics $C$ such that $\operatorname{Sing}(C)$ is of type $R$. This task was worked out by Yang (1996).

We can also determine all possible isomorphism classes of the transcendental lattice $T[C]$.

Using computer, we obtain the following examples of arithmetic Zariski pairs of maximizing sextics.

We put

$$
L[2 a, b, 2 c]:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right]
$$

| No. | the type of Sing $(C)$ | $T[C] \quad$ and | $T\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $E_{8}+A_{10}+A_{1}$ | $L[6,2,8]$, | $L[2,0,22]$ |
| 2 | $E_{8}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,70]$ |
| 3 | $E_{6}+D_{5}+A_{6}+A_{2}$ | $L[12,0,42]$, | $L[6,0,84]$ |
| 4 | $E_{6}+A_{10}+A_{3}$ | $L[12,0,22]$, | $L[4,0,66]$ |
| 5 | $E_{6}+A_{10}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[18,6,24]$, | $L[6,0,66]$ |
| 6 | $E_{6}+A_{7}+A_{4}+A_{2}$ | $L[24,0,30]$, | $L[6,0,120]$ |
| 7 | $E_{6}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[30,0,42]$, | $L[18,6,72]$ |
| 8 | $D_{8}+A_{10}+A_{1}$ | $L[6,2,8]$, | $L[2,0,22]$ |
| 9 | $D_{8}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,70]$ |
| 10 | $D_{7}+A_{12}$ | $L[6,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,52]$ |
| 11 | $D_{7}+A_{8}+A_{4}$ | $L[18,0,20]$, | $L[2,0,180]$ |
| 12 | $D_{5}+A_{10}+A_{4}$ | $L[20,0,22]$, | $L[12,4,38]$ |
| 13 | $D_{5}+A_{6}+A_{5}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[12,0,42]$, | $L[6,0,84]$ |
| 14 | $D_{5}+A_{6}+2 A_{4}$ | $L[20,0,70]$, | $L[10,0,140]$ |
| 15 | $A_{18}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,10]$, | $L[2,0,38]$ |
| 16 | $A_{16}+A_{3}$ | $L[4,0,34]$, | $L[2,0,68]$ |
| 17 | $A_{16}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[10,4,22]$, | $L[6,0,34]$ |
| 18 | $A_{13}+A_{4}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,70]$ |
| 19 | $A_{12}+A_{6}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,46]$, | $L[2,0,182]$ |
| 20 | $A_{12}+A_{5}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[12,6,16]$, | $L[4,2,40]$ |
| 21 | $A_{12}+A_{4}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[24,6,34]$, | $L[6,0,130]$ |
| 22 | $A_{10}+A_{9}$ | $L[10,0,22]$, | $L[2,0,110]$ |
| 23 | $A_{10}+A_{9}$ | $L[8,3,8]$, | $L[2,1,28]$ |
| 24 | $A_{10}+A_{8}+A_{1}$ | $L[18,0,22]$, | $L[10,2,40]$ |
| 25 | $A_{10}+A_{7}+A_{2}$ | $L[22,0,24]$, | $L[6,0,88]$ |
| 26 | $A_{10}+A_{7}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[10,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,88]$ |
| 27 | $A_{10}+A_{6}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[22,0,42]$, | $L[16,2,58]$ |
| 28 | $A_{10}+A_{5}+A_{3}+A_{1}$ | $L[12,0,22]$, | $L[4,0,66]$ |
| 29 | $A_{10}+2 A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[30,10,40]$, | $L[10,0,110]$ |
| 30 | $A_{10}+A_{4}+2 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[30,0,66]$, | $L[6,0,330]$ |
| 31 | $A_{8}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[22,4,58]$, | $L[18,0,70]$ |
| 32 | $A_{7}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{2}$ | $L[24,0,70]$, | $L[6,0,280]$ |
| 33 | $A_{7}+A_{6}+A_{4}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[18,4,32]$, | $L[2,0,280]$ |
| 34 | $A_{7}+A_{5}+A_{4}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[24,0,30]$, | $L[6,0,120]$ |
|  |  |  |  |

