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- By a lattice, we mean a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\Lambda$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$
\Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

- A lattice $\Lambda$ is said to be even if $(v, v) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for any $v \in \Lambda$.


## §1. Introduction

For a $K 3$ surface $X$ defined over a field $k$, we denote by $\mathrm{NS}(\boldsymbol{X})$ the Néron-Severi lattice of $\boldsymbol{X} \otimes \bar{k}$.

Definition. A $K 3$ surface $X$ defined over a field of characteristic 0 is said to be singular if $\operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{NS}(\boldsymbol{X}))$ attains the possible maximum 20 . For a singular $K 3$ surface $X$, we put

$$
d(X):=\operatorname{disc}(\operatorname{NS}(X))
$$

which is a negative integer.
Shioda and Inose showed that every singular $K 3$ surface $X$ is defined over a number field $\boldsymbol{F}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Emb}(\boldsymbol{F}, \mathbb{C})$ the set of embeddings of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$, and investigate the the transcendental lattice

$$
T\left(X^{\sigma}\right):=\left(\mathrm{NS}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X^{\sigma}, \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)^{\perp}
$$

for each embedding $\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(F, \mathbb{C})$, where $X^{\sigma}$ is the complex $K 3$ surface $X \otimes_{F, \sigma} \mathbb{C}$. Note that each $T\left(X^{\sigma}\right)$ is a positivedefinite even lattice of rank 2 with discriminant $-d(X)$.

## §2. Shioda-Mitani-Inose theory

For a negative integer $d$, we put

$$
\left.\mathcal{M}_{d}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{l}
a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a>0, c>0 \\
b^{2}-4 a c=d
\end{array}\right\}
$$

on which $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts by $M \mapsto{ }^{t} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{M g}$, where $M \in \mathcal{M}_{d}$ and $g \in G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. We denote the set of isomorphism classes of even, positive-definite lattices (resp. oriented lattices) of rank 2 with discriminant $-d$ by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{d}:=\mathcal{M}_{d} / G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d}:=\mathcal{M}_{d} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)
$$

Let $S$ be a complex singular $K 3$ surface. By the Hodge decomposition

$$
T(S) \otimes \mathbb{C}=\mathrm{H}^{2,0}(S) \oplus \mathbf{H}^{0,2}(S)
$$

we can define a canonical orientation on $T(S)$. We denote by $\widetilde{T}(S)$ the oriented transcendental lattice of $S$, and by $[\widetilde{T}(S)] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d(S)}$ the isomorphism class of the oriented transcendental lattice.

Theorem (Shioda and Inose). The map $S \mapsto[\widetilde{T}(S)]$ induces a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of complex singular $K 3$ surfaces to the set $\bigcup_{d} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d}$ of isomorphism classes of even, positive-definite oriented lattices of rank 2.

In fact, Shioda and Inose gave an explicit construction of a complex singular K3 surface with a given oriented transcendental lattice. Suppose that

$$
\widetilde{T}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } \quad d:=b^{2}-4 a c<0
$$

is given. We put
$E^{\prime}:=\mathbb{C} /\left(\mathbb{Z}+\tau^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right), \quad$ where $\quad \tau^{\prime}=(-b+\sqrt{d}) /(2 a), \quad$ and $E:=\mathbb{C} /(\mathbb{Z}+\tau \mathbb{Z}), \quad$ where $\quad \tau=(b+\sqrt{d}) / 2$.

Theorem (Shioda and Mitani). The oriented transcendental lattice $\widetilde{T}\left(E^{\prime} \times E\right)$ of the abelian surface $E^{\prime} \times E$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_{0}$.

Shioda and Inose showed that, on the Kummer surface $\operatorname{Km}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{E}\right)$, there are effective divisors $C$ and $\Theta$ such that (1) $C=C_{1}+\cdots+C_{8}$ and $\Theta=\Theta_{1}+\cdots+\Theta_{8}$ are disjoint,
(2) $C$ is an $A D E$-configuration of (-2)-curves of type $\mathbb{E}_{8}$,
(3) $\Theta$ is an $A D E$-configuration of ( -2 )-curves of type $8 \mathbb{A}_{1}$,
(4) there is $[\mathcal{L}] \in \operatorname{NS}\left(\operatorname{Km}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \times E\right)\right)$ such that $2[\mathcal{L}]=[\Theta]$.

We make the diagram

$$
\boldsymbol{Y} \leftarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Km}\left(E^{\prime} \times E\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{Y}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Km}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{E}\right)$ is the double covering branching exactly along $\Theta$, and $Y \leftarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ is the contraction of the ( -1 )curves on $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ (that is, the inverse images of $\Theta_{1}, \ldots, \Theta_{8}$ ).

Theorem (Shioda and Inose). The surface $Y$ is a singular K3 surface, and the diagram

$$
Y \longleftarrow \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Km}\left(E^{\prime} \times E\right) \longleftarrow \widetilde{E^{\prime} \times E} \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \times E
$$

induces an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{T}(Y) \cong \widetilde{T}\left(E^{\prime} \times E\right)\left(\cong \widetilde{T}_{0}\right)
$$

of the oriented transcendental lattices.

## §3. Genera of lattices

Definition. Two lattices

$$
\lambda: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda^{\prime}: \Lambda^{\prime} \times \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

are said to be in the same genus if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}: \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} \quad \text { and } \\
& \lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}: \Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

are isomorphic for any $p$ including $p=\infty$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{\infty}=\mathbb{R}$.
We have the following:
Theorem (Nikulin). Two even lattices of the same rank are in the same genus if and only if they have the same signature and their discriminant forms are isomorphic.

Definition. Let $\Lambda$ be an even lattice. Then $\Lambda$ is canonically embedded into

$$
\Lambda^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})
$$

as a subgroup of finite index, and we have a natural symmetric bilinear form

$$
\Lambda^{\vee} \times \Lambda^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

that extends the symmetric bilinear form on $\Lambda$. The finite abelian group

$$
D_{\Lambda}:=\Lambda^{\vee} / \Lambda,
$$

together with the natural quadratic form

$$
q_{\Lambda}: D_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

is called the discriminant form of $\Lambda$.

Proposition. Suppose that an even lattice $M$ is embedded into an even unimodular lattice $L$ primitively. Let $N$ denote the orthogonal complement of $M$ in $L$. Then we have

$$
\left(D_{M}, \boldsymbol{q}_{M}\right) \cong\left(D_{N},-\boldsymbol{q}_{N}\right)
$$

Proposition. Let $X$ be a singular $K 3$ surface defined over a number field $\boldsymbol{F}$. For $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Emb}(\boldsymbol{F}, \mathbb{C})$, the lattices $T\left(X^{\sigma}\right)$ and $T\left(X^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ are in the same genus.

This follows from Nikulin's theorem. We have

$$
\operatorname{NS}(X) \cong \operatorname{NS}\left(X^{\sigma}\right) \cong \operatorname{NS}\left(X^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Since $H^{2}\left(X^{\sigma}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is unimodular, the discriminant form of $T\left(X^{\sigma}\right)$ is isomorphic to (-1) times the discriminant form of $\operatorname{NS}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)$ :

$$
\left(D_{T\left(X^{\sigma}\right)}, q_{T\left(X^{\sigma}\right)}\right) \cong\left(D_{\mathrm{NS}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)},-q_{\mathrm{NS}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)}\right)
$$

The same holds for $T\left(X^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence $T\left(X^{\sigma}\right)$ and $T\left(X^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ have the isomorphic discriminant forms.

Theorem (S.- and Schütt). Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}_{d}$ be a genus of even positive-definite lattices of rank 2 , and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d}$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{G}$ by the natural projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{d}$. Then there exists a singular $K 3$ surface $X$ defined over a number field $F$ such that the set

$$
\left\{\left[\widetilde{T}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)\right] \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(F, \mathbb{C})\right\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d}
$$

coincides with the oriented genus $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$.
Let $\widetilde{T}_{0}$ be an element of the oriented genus $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, and let $Y$ be a singular $K 3$ surface such that $\widetilde{T}(Y) \cong \widetilde{T}_{0}$. We consider the Shioda-Inose-Kummer diagram

$$
Y \longleftarrow \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow K m\left(E^{\prime} \times E\right) \longleftarrow \widetilde{E^{\prime} \times E} \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \times E,
$$

which we assume to be defined over a number field $\boldsymbol{F}$. Then, for each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(F, \mathbb{C})$, the diagram

$$
Y^{\sigma} \longleftarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}^{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Km}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \times E\right)^{\sigma} \longleftarrow \widetilde{E^{\prime \sigma} \times E^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{E}^{\prime \sigma} \times \boldsymbol{E}^{\sigma}
$$

induces $\widetilde{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}^{\sigma}\right) \cong \widetilde{T}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime \sigma} \times E^{\sigma}\right)$. The lattice $\widetilde{T}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime \sigma} \times \boldsymbol{E}^{\sigma}\right)$ can be calculated from $\widetilde{T}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{E}\right)$ by the classical class field theory of imaginary quadratic fields.

## §4. Non-homeomorphic conjugate varieties

We denote by $\operatorname{Emb}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ the set of embeddings $\sigma: \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ into itself.

Definition. For a complex variety $X$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, we define a complex variety $\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ by the following diagram of the fiber product:


Two complex varieties $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are said to be conjugate if there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $X^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $X^{\sigma}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

It is obvious from the definition that conjugate varieties are homeomorphic in Zariski topology.

Problem. How about in the classical complex topology?

We have the following:
Example (Serre (1964)). There exist conjugate smooth projective varieties $X$ and $X^{\sigma}$ such that their topological fundamental groups are not isomorphic:

$$
\pi_{1}(X) \neq \pi_{1}\left(X^{\sigma}\right)
$$

In particular, $X$ and $X^{\sigma}$ are not homotopically equivalent.

Grothendieck's dessins d'enfant (1984).
Let $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a finite covering defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ branching only at the three points $0,1, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$, consider the conjugate covering

$$
f^{\sigma}: C^{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}
$$

Then $f$ and $f^{\sigma}$ are topologically distinct in general.
Belyi's theorem asserts that the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ on the set of topological types of the covering of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ branching only at $0,1, \infty$ is faithful.

Other examples of non-homeomorphic conjugate varieties.

- Abelson: Topologically distinct conjugate varieties with finite fundamental group.
Topology 13 (1974).
- Artal Bartolo, Carmona Ruber, Cogolludo Agustín: Effective invariants of braid monodromy. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007).
- S.-: On arithmetic Zariski pairs in degree 6. arXiv:math/0611596
- S.-: Non-homeomorphic conjugate complex varieties. arXiv:math/0701115
- Easton, Vakil: Absolute Galois acts faithfully on the components of the moduli space of surfaces: A Belyitype theorem in higher dimension. arXiv:0704.3231
- Bauer, Catanese, Grunewald: The absolute Galois group acts faithfully on the connected components of the moduli space of surfaces of general type. arXiv:0706.1466
- F. Charles: Conjugate varieties with distinct real cohomology algebras. arXiv:0706.3674

Let $V$ be an oriented topological manifold of real dimension 4. We put

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}(V):=\mathrm{H}_{2}(V, \mathbb{Z}) / \text { torsion },
$$

and let

$$
\iota_{V}: \mathrm{H}_{2}(V) \times \mathrm{H}_{2}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

be the intersection pairing. We then put

$$
J_{\infty}(V):=\bigcap_{K} \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}(V \backslash K) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(V)\right),
$$

where $K$ runs through the set of compact subsets of $V$, and set

$$
\widetilde{B}_{V}:=\mathrm{H}_{2}(V) / J_{\infty}(V) \quad \text { and } \quad B_{V}:=\left(\widetilde{B}_{V}\right) / \text { torsion } .
$$

Since any topological cycle is compact, the intersection pairing $\iota_{V}$ induces a symmetric bilinear form

$$
\beta_{V}: B_{V} \times B_{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

It is obvious that the isomorphism class of $\left(B_{V}, \beta_{V}\right)$ is a topological invariant of $V$.

Theorem. Let $X$ be a complex smooth projective surface, and let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ be irreducible curves on $\boldsymbol{X}$. We put

$$
V:=X \backslash \bigcup C_{i}
$$

Suppose that the classes $\left[C_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[C_{n}\right] \operatorname{span} \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\left(B_{V}, \beta_{V}\right)$ is isomorphic to the transcendental lattice

$$
T(X):=\left(\mathrm{NS}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{2}(X)\right)^{\perp} / \text { torsion. }
$$

Hence $T(X)$ is a topological invariant of the open surface $\boldsymbol{V} \subset \boldsymbol{X}$.

Construction of examples.
Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be even positive-definite lattices of rank 2 that are in the same genus but not isomorphic. We have a singular $K 3$ surface $\boldsymbol{X}$ defined over a number field $\boldsymbol{F}$, and embeddings $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \operatorname{Emb}(\boldsymbol{F}, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
T\left(X^{\sigma_{1}}\right) \cong T_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(X^{\sigma_{2}}\right) \cong T_{2} .
$$

Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ be irreducible curves on $X$ whose classes span $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Enlarging $\boldsymbol{F}$, we can assume that

$$
V:=X \backslash \bigcup C_{i} .
$$

is defined over $\boldsymbol{F}$. Then the conjugate open varieties

$$
V^{\sigma_{1}} \text { and } V^{\sigma_{2}}
$$

are not homeomorphic.

## §5. Maximizing sextic

Definition. (1) A complex plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree 6 is called a maximizing sextic if $C$ has only simple singularities and its total Milnor number is 19.
(2) Two complex projective plane curves $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are said to be conjugate if there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $C^{\sigma} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is projectively equivalent to $C^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

If $C$ is a maximizing sextic, the minimal resolution $X_{C} \rightarrow Y_{C}$ of the double cover $Y_{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ branching exactly along $C$ is a complex singular $K 3$ surface. We put

$$
T[C]:=T\left(X_{C}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{T}[C]:=\widetilde{T}\left(X_{C}\right) .
$$

Theorem. Let $C$ be a maximizing sextic, and let $\widetilde{T}^{\prime}$ be an oriented lattice such that its underlying (non-oriented) lattice is in the same genus, but not isomorphic, with $T[C]$. Then there is a maximizing sextic $C^{\prime}$ such that $\widetilde{T}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cong \widetilde{T}^{\prime}$, and that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are conjugate, but $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C^{\prime}\right)$ are not homeomorphic.

Definition. A pair of complex projective plane curves $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ is called an arithmetic Zariski pair if they are conjugate but $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C^{\prime}\right)$ are not homeomorphic.

Remark. The first example of an arithmetic Zariski pair was discovered by Artal, Carmona and Cogolludo in degree 12 by means of completely different method.

Using Torelli theorem, we can make a complete list of the $A D E$-types of maximizing sextics and their oriented transcendental lattices. Thus we obtain the following complete list of arithmetic Zariski pairs of maximizing sextics.

In the table below, $L[2 a, b, 2 c]$ denotes the lattice of rank 2 given by the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b \\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right]
$$

| 1 | $E_{8}+A_{10}+A_{1}$ | $L[6,2,8]$, | $L[2,0,22]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $E_{8}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,70]$ |
| 3 | $E_{6}+D_{5}+A_{6}+A_{2}$ | $L[12,0,42]$, | $L[6,0,84]$ |
| 4 | $E_{6}+A_{10}+A_{3}$ | $L[12,0,22]$, | $L[4,0,66]$ |
| 5 | $E_{6}+A_{10}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[18,6,24]$, | $L[6,0,66]$ |
| 6 | $E_{6}+A_{7}+A_{4}+A_{2}$ | $L[24,0,30]$, | $L[6,0,120]$ |
| 7 | $E_{6}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[30,0,42]$, | $L[18,6,72]$ |
| 8 | $D_{8}+A_{10}+A_{1}$ | $L[6,2,8]$, | $L[2,0,22]$ |
| 9 | $D_{8}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,70]$ |
| 10 | $D_{7}+A_{12}$ | $L[6,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,52]$ |
| 11 | $D_{7}+A_{8}+A_{4}$ | $L[18,0,20]$, | $L[2,0,180]$ |
| 12 | $D_{5}+A_{10}+A_{4}$ | $L[20,0,22]$, | $L[12,4,38]$ |
| 13 | $D_{5}+A_{6}+A_{5}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[12,0,42]$, | $L[6,0,84]$ |
| 14 | $D_{5}+A_{6}+2 A_{4}$ | $L[20,0,70]$, | $L[10,0,140]$ |
| 15 | $A_{18}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,10]$, | $L[2,0,38]$ |
| 16 | $A_{16}+A_{3}$ | $L[4,0,34]$, | $L[2,0,68]$ |
| 17 | $A_{16}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[10,4,22]$, | $L[6,0,34]$ |
| 18 | $A_{13}+A_{4}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,70]$ |
| 19 | $A_{12}+A_{6}+A_{1}$ | $L[8,2,46]$, | $L[2,0,182]$ |
| 20 | $A_{12}+A_{5}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[12,6,16]$, | $L[4,2,40]$ |
| 21 | $A_{12}+A_{4}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[24,6,34]$, | $L[6,0,130]$ |
| 22 | $A_{10}+A_{9}$ | $L[10,0,22]$, | $L[2,0,110]$ |
| 23 | $A_{10}+A_{9}$ | $L[8,3,8]$, | $L[2,1,28]$ |
| 24 | $A_{10}+A_{8}+A_{1}$ | $L[18,0,22]$, | $L[10,2,40]$ |
| 25 | $A_{10}+A_{7}+A_{2}$ | $L[22,0,24]$, | $L[6,0,88]$ |
| 26 | $A_{10}+A_{7}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[10,2,18]$, | $L[2,0,88]$ |
| 27 | $A_{10}+A_{6}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[22,0,42]$, | $L[16,2,58]$ |
| 28 | $A_{10}+A_{5}+A_{3}+A_{1}$ | $L[12,0,22]$, | $L[4,0,66]$ |
| 29 | $A_{10}+2 A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[30,10,40]$, | $L[10,0,110]$ |
| 30 | $A_{10}+A_{4}+2 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[30,0,66]$, | $L[6,0,330]$ |
| 31 | $A_{8}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{1}$ | $L[22,4,58]$, | $L[18,0,70]$ |
| 32 | $A_{7}+A_{6}+A_{4}+A_{2}$ | $L[24,0,70]$, | $L[6,0,280]$ |
| 33 | $A_{7}+A_{6}+A_{4}+2 A_{1}$ | $L[18,4,32]$, | $L[2,0,280]$ |
| 34 | $A_{7}+A_{5}+A_{4}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | $L[24,0,30]$, | $L[6,0,120]$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Definition. We say that an oriented lattice $\widetilde{T}$ of rank 2 is real if it is isomorphic to its reverse; that is, if $\widetilde{T}$ is represented by a $2 \times 2$-matrix $M$, then there exists $P \in$ $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\operatorname{det} P=-1$ such that $M={ }^{t} P M P$.

For a singular $K 3$ surface $X$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$, we put

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}:=\boldsymbol{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C},-\mathbb{C}}
$$

where $-: \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is the complex conjugate. Then $\widetilde{T}(\bar{X})$ is the reverse of $\widetilde{T}(X)$. Therefore, if $X$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, then $\widetilde{T}(X)$ is real in the sense above.

Let $C$ be a maximizing sextic. If $\widetilde{T}[C]$ is non-real, then the complex singular $K 3$ surfaces $X_{C}$ and $X_{\bar{C}}$ are not isomorphic (since $\widetilde{T}[C]$ is not isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}[\bar{C}]$ ), and hence $C$ is not projectively equivalent to $\bar{C}$, but $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \bar{C}\right)$ are obviously homeomorphic.
§6. Example by Artal, Carmona and Cogolludo

We consider the following cubic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$
K:=\mathbb{Q}[t] /(\varphi), \quad \text { where } \quad \varphi=17 t^{3}-18 t^{2}-228 t+556 .
$$

The roots of $\varphi=0$ are $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \beta$, where

$$
\alpha=2.590 \cdots+1.108 \cdots \sqrt{-1}, \quad \beta=-4.121 \cdots
$$

There are three corresponding embeddings

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma_{\bar{\alpha}}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{\beta}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} .
$$

There exists a homogeneous polynomial

$$
\Phi\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in K\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]
$$

of degree 6 with coefficients in $K$ such that the plane curve

$$
C=\{\Phi=0\}
$$

has three simple singular points of type

$$
A_{16}+A_{2}+A_{1}
$$

as its only singularities.

Consider the conjugate complex plane curves
$C_{\alpha}=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma_{\alpha}}=0\right\}, C_{\bar{\alpha}}=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}}}=0\right\} \quad$ and $\quad C_{\beta}=\left\{\Phi^{\sigma_{\beta}}=0\right\}$.
They show that, if $C^{\prime}$ is a maximizing sextic of type $A_{16}+$ $A_{2}+A_{1}$, then $C^{\prime}$ is projectively equivalent to $C_{\alpha}, C_{\bar{\alpha}}$ or $C_{\beta}$. Using Torelli theorem, we see that their oriented transcendental lattices are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & \pm 4 \\
\pm 4 & 22
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { (non-real) }
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
6 & 0 \\
0 & 34
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { (real). }
$$

Therefore we have

$$
T\left[C_{\alpha}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & \pm 4 \\
\pm 4 & 22
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T\left[C_{\beta}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
6 & 0 \\
0 & 34
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, C_{\beta}\right)$ are not homeomorphic.
§7. Example by Arima and S.-

There are 4 connected components in the moduli space of maximizing sextics of type

$$
A_{10}+A_{9} .
$$

Two of them have irreducible members, and their oriented transcendental lattices are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 0 \\
0 & 22
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 110
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { (both are real). }
$$

The other two have reducible members (a line and an irreducible quintic), and their oriented transcendental lattices are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
8 & 3 \\
3 & 8
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 28
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { (both are real). }
$$

We will consider these reducible members.

The reducible members are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. The defining equation is

$$
C_{ \pm}: z \cdot(G(x, y, z) \pm \sqrt{5} \cdot H(x, y, z))=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(x, y, z):= & -9 x^{4} z-14 x^{3} y z+58 x^{3} z^{2}-48 x^{2} y^{2} z- \\
& -64 x^{2} y z^{2}+10 x^{2} z^{3}++108 x y^{3} z- \\
& -20 x y^{2} z^{2}-44 y^{5}+10 y^{4} z, \\
H(x, y, z):= & 5 x^{4} z+10 x^{3} y z-30 x^{3} z^{2}+30 x^{2} y^{2} z+ \\
& +20 x^{2} y z^{2}-40 x y^{3} z+20 y^{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The singular points are

$$
[0: 0: 1]\left(A_{10}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad[1: 0: 0]\left(A_{9}\right) .
$$

We have two possibilities:

$$
T\left[C_{+}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{ll}
8 & 3 \\
3 & 8
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T\left[C_{-}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 28
\end{array}\right]
$$

or

$$
T\left[C_{+}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 28
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T\left[C_{-}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
8 & 3 \\
3 & 8
\end{array}\right]
$$

Problem. Which is the case?

Remark. This problem cannot be solved by any algebraic methods.

More generally, we can raise the following:
Problem. How to calculate the transcendental lattice of $\boldsymbol{X}_{C}$ from the defining equation of a maximizing sextic $C$ ?

This task can be done by a Zariski-van Kampen method for homology cycles.

The Zariski-van Kampen method is a method to calculate $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right)$ for a projective plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Tradittionally, the invariant $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right)$ has been used to distinguish embedding topologies of plane curves with the same type of singularities.

Remark. We have $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C_{+}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C_{-}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

For simplicity, we put $X_{ \pm}:=X_{C_{ \pm}}$. Let $D \subset X_{ \pm}$be the total transform of the union of the lines

$$
\{z=0\} \cup\{x=0\}
$$

on which the two singular points of $C_{ \pm}$locate, and let $X_{ \pm}^{0}$ be the complement of $D$. Since the irreducible components of $D$ span $\mathrm{NS}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{ \pm}\right)$, the inclusion $\boldsymbol{X}_{ \pm}^{0} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{X}_{ \pm}$induces a surjection

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(X_{ \pm}^{0}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow T\left(X_{ \pm}\right) .
$$

We will describe the generators of $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{ \pm}^{0}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and the intersection numbers among them.
We put

$$
f_{ \pm}(y, z):=G(1, y, z) \pm \sqrt{5} \cdot H(1, y, z),
$$

and set

$$
Q_{ \pm}:=\left\{f_{ \pm}(y, z)=0\right\} .
$$

Then $Q_{ \pm}$is a smooth affine quintic curve, and it intersects the line

$$
L:=\{z=0\}
$$

at the origin with the multiplicity 5 . The open surface $X_{ \pm}^{0}$ is a double covering of $\mathbb{A}^{2} \backslash L$ branching along $Q_{ \pm}$.

Let

$$
\pi_{ \pm}: X_{ \pm}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2} \backslash L
$$

be the double covering. We consider the projection

$$
p: \mathbb{A}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z}^{1} \quad p(y, z):=z
$$

and the composite

$$
q_{ \pm}: X_{ \pm}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2} \backslash L \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z}^{1} .
$$

There are four critical points of the finite covering

$$
p \mid Q_{ \pm}: Q_{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z}^{1}
$$

Three of them $R_{ \pm}, S_{ \pm}, \bar{S}_{ \pm}$are simple critical values, while the critical point over 0 is of multiplicity 5 . Their positions are

$$
R_{+}=0.42193 \ldots, \quad S_{+}=0.23780 \ldots+0.24431 \ldots \cdot \sqrt{-1},
$$

and

$$
R_{-}=0.12593 \ldots, \quad S_{-}=27.542 \ldots+45.819 \ldots \cdot \sqrt{-1} .
$$

We choose a base point $b$ on $\mathbb{A}_{z}^{1}$ as a sufficiently small positive real number (say $b=10^{-3}$ ), and define the loop $\lambda$ and the paths $\rho_{ \pm}, \sigma_{ \pm}, \bar{\sigma}_{ \pm}$on the $z$-line $\mathbb{A}_{z}^{1}$ as in the figure:
on $\mathbb{A}_{z}^{1}$

We put

$$
\mathbb{A}_{y}^{1}:=p^{-1}(b), \quad F_{ \pm}:=q_{ \pm}^{-1}(b)=\pi_{ \pm}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{y}^{1}\right)
$$

Then the morphism

$$
\pi_{ \pm} \mid F_{ \pm}: F_{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{y}^{1}
$$

is the double covering branching exactly at the five points $\mathbb{A}_{y}^{1} \cap Q_{ \pm}$. Hence $F_{ \pm}$is a genus 2 curve minus one point.

We choose a system of oriented simple closed curves $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{5}$ on $F_{ \pm}$in such a way that their images by the double covering

$$
\pi_{ \pm} \mid F_{ \pm}: F_{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{y}^{1}
$$

are given in the figure and that the orientations are given so that

$$
a_{i} a_{i+1}=-a_{i+1} a_{i}=1
$$

holds for $i=1, \ldots, 5$, where $a_{6}:=a_{1}$. Then $H_{1}\left(F_{ \pm}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is generated by $\left[a_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4}\right]$, and we have

$$
\left[a_{5}\right]=-\left[a_{1}\right]-\left[a_{2}\right]-\left[a_{3}\right]-\left[a_{4}\right] .
$$

$$
\text { on } p^{-1}(b)=\mathbb{A}_{y}^{1}
$$

The monodromy along the loop $\lambda$ around $z=0$ is given by

$$
a_{i} \mapsto a_{i+1} .
$$

Hence the open surface $X_{ \pm}^{0}$ is homotopically equivalent to the 2-dimensional $C W$-complex obtained from $F_{ \pm}$by attaching

- four tubes

$$
T_{i}:=S^{1} \times I \quad(i=1, \ldots, 4)
$$

with $\partial T_{i}=a_{i+1}-a_{i}$ and zipping up $\{0\} \times I$ together appropriately,
and

- three thimbles

$$
\Theta\left(\rho_{ \pm}\right), \quad \Theta\left(\sigma_{ \pm}\right), \quad \Theta\left(\bar{\sigma}_{ \pm}\right)
$$

corresponding to the vanishing cycles on $F_{ \pm}$for the simple critical values $R_{ \pm}, S_{ \pm}$and $\bar{S}_{ \pm}$.

Hence the homology group $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(X_{ \pm}^{0}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is equal to the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} \mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{T}_{i}\right] \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta\left(\rho_{ \pm}\right)\right] \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta\left(\sigma_{ \pm}\right)\right] \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta\left(\bar{\sigma}_{ \pm}\right)\right] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} \mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{a}_{i}\right]
$$

given by $[M] \mapsto[\partial(M)]$. Therefore the problem is reduced to the calculation of the vanishing cycles $\partial \Theta\left(\rho_{ \pm}\right), \partial \Theta\left(\sigma_{ \pm}\right)$ and $\partial \Theta\left(\bar{\sigma}_{ \pm}\right)$.

When $z$ moves from $b$ to $R_{ \pm}$along the path $\rho_{ \pm}$, the branch points $p^{-1}(z) \cap Q_{ \pm}$moves as follows:

Therefore, putting an orientation on the thimble, we have

$$
\left[\partial \Theta\left(\rho_{+}\right)\right]=\left[a_{1}\right]-\left[a_{2}\right]+\left[a_{3}\right]-\left[a_{4}\right],
$$

while

$$
\left[\partial \Theta\left(\rho_{-}\right)\right]=\left[a_{2}\right]+\left[a_{3}\right] .
$$

When $z$ moves from $b$ to $S_{ \pm}$along the path $\sigma_{ \pm}$, the branch points $p^{-1}(z) \cap Q_{ \pm}$moves as follows:

Therefore, putting an orientation on the thimble, we have

$$
\left[\partial \Theta\left(\sigma_{+}\right)\right]=\left[a_{1}\right]-\left[a_{2}\right]-\left[a_{3}\right],
$$

while

$$
\left[\partial \Theta\left(\sigma_{-}\right)\right]=2\left[a_{1}\right]-\left[a_{2}\right]-\left[a_{3}\right]-\left[a_{4}\right] .
$$

Thus we can describe the generators of $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(X_{ \pm}^{0}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ explicitly. It is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 3 . The intersection numbers between them are calculated by perturbing these cycles:

By this calculation, we obtain the following:

## Proposition.

$$
T\left[C_{+}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 28
\end{array}\right], \quad T\left[C_{-}\right] \cong\left[\begin{array}{ll}
8 & 3 \\
3 & 8
\end{array}\right]
$$

