

On totally geodesic surfaces in symmetric spaces and applications

Hiroshi Tamaru (田丸 博士)

Hiroshima University

The second China-Japan geometry conference, Fuzhou 2016/September/08



Preface

- TG := totally geodesic.
- \exists many studies on TG-submfds in symmetric spaces.

Claim

• ∃ many open problems on TG-submfds in symmetric spaces!

Preface (2/2)

Contents

- $\S1$: Introduction
- §2: TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces
- $\S3:\ \mbox{TG-complex curves in Hermitian symm. sp.}$
- $\S4$: TG-submfds in symmetric spaces of type AI

Note

This talk is based on some joint works with

- Takuya Fujimaru (Usuki High-School)
- Kentaro Kimura (Hokuryo High-School)
- Akira Kubo (Hiroshima Shudo U.)
- Katsuya Mashimo (Hosei U.)
- Takayuki Okuda (Hiroshima U.)



Def. $(\overline{M}, g) \supset M$ is **TG** (totally geodesic) $:\Leftrightarrow$ [Second Fundamental Form] $\equiv 0$ \Leftrightarrow " γ : geodesic in \overline{M} ".

Note

We always assume that M is connected, complete.

Fundamental Prop.

- TG-submfds in symmetric spaces ↔ Lie triple systems.
- ∃ duality between TG-submfds in cpt/noncpt types.



introduction (

What are known:

Classifications of TG-submfds M in symm. spaces \overline{M} are know for

- M flat;
- $\operatorname{rk}(\overline{M}) = 1$ (Wolf 1963);
- \overline{M} irr. Hermitian, M cplx (Satake 1965, Ihara 1967);
- \overline{M} irr., M reflective (Leung 1973–79);
- \overline{M} irr., M polars/meridians (Chen-Nagano 1970's);
- ...
- \overline{M} irr., $rk(\overline{M}) = 2$, M maximal (Klein 2008–10).

Introduction (3/4)

What are unknown:

Introduction

- Classification of (maximal) TG-submfds for $rk(\overline{M}) \ge 3$;
- The index $i(\overline{M})$ for many \overline{M} , where

 $i(\overline{M}) := \min \operatorname{codim} \{M : \operatorname{proper} \mathsf{TG-submfd} \text{ in } \overline{M}\};$

- Classification when M
 reducible;
- Classification of ALL TG-submfds even when $rk(\overline{M}) = 2$.

Note

- Most cases study maximal TG-submfds.
- However, it is not easy to classify all TG-submfds from it.



Our Strategy (1)

- We start from **TG-surfaces** (smallest nontrivial case).
- Contrast to: maximal TG-submfds are studied in many cases.
- TG-surfaces would be building blocks of all TG-submfds.

Our Strategy (2)

- We assume that the ambient spaces \overline{M} are of **noncpt type**.
- Because of the duality, essentially same as the cpt case.
- An advantage: one can use Iwasawa dec., solvable groups, ...

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (1/7)

 $\overline{M} = G/K$: irreducible symmetric sp. of noncpt type.

Problem Classify **TG-surfaces** in \overline{M} .

Problem (almost equivalent)

Classify **nonflat TG-surfaces** in \overline{M} .

Problem (almost equivalent)

Classify nonabelian 2-dim. LTS in p.

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$: the Cartan decomposition.
- $\mathfrak{p} \supset V$: LTS (Lie triple system) : $\Leftrightarrow [[V, V], V] \subset V$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (2/7)

Thm. (primitive version)

There is a correspondence between

- nonabelian 2-dim. LTS in p,
- X ∈ n \ {0} satisfying

 (C1) [θX, X] ∈ a⁺;
 (C2) ∃c > 0 : [[θX, X], X] = cX.

Notation

- $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$: the Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: the Iwasawa decomposition.
- $\mathfrak{a}^+ := [positive closed Weyl chamber].$

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (3/7)

Recall

There is a correspondence between

- nonabelian 2-dim. LTS in p,
- $X \in \mathfrak{n} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (C1) $[\theta X, X] \in \mathfrak{a}^+$; (C2) $\exists c > 0 : [[\theta X, X], X] = cX$.

Proof

$$(\leftarrow)$$
 For such X, LTS is $\Sigma_X := \operatorname{Span}\{[\theta X, X], (1-\theta)X\}.$

 (\rightarrow) It follows from the congruency of $\mathfrak{a},\,\mathfrak{a}^+,\,...$

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (4/7)

Simplest Case

 $\overline{M} = \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{SO}(n) \Rightarrow$ everything is linear algebra:

- $\theta X = -^{t}X$, $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Sym}^{0}(n, \mathbb{R})$.
- $n = \{ upper triangular \},\$

•
$$\mathfrak{a} = \{ diagonal \mid tr = 0 \}$$

• $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{ \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{a} \mid a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \}.$

Prop. (Fujimaru-Kubo-T. 2014)

In $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n)$, up to isometric congruence,

- $n = 3 \Rightarrow \exists$ exactly 2 nonflat TG-surfaces;
- $n = 4 \Rightarrow \exists$ exactly 4 nonflat TG-surfaces.

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (5/7)

Recall

 \exists correspondence between

- nonabelian 2-dim. LTS in p,
- $X \in \mathfrak{n} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (C1), (C2).

Note (general theory behind)

Mostow (1955):

• nonabelian 2-dim. LTS \leftrightarrow subalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Jacobson-Morozov theorem:

• such subalgebras \leftrightarrow nilpotent orbits in \mathfrak{g} .

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (6/7)

Thm. (sophisticated version)

 $G := \operatorname{Isom}(\overline{M})$

 $\Rightarrow \exists$ one-to-one correspondence between

- {nonflat TG-surfaces in \overline{M} }/G;
- {nilpotent orbits $Ad_G(X)$ of \mathfrak{g} }/{±1}.

Remark

For nilpotent orbits,

- ${Ad_{G^0}(X)}$ is well studied.
- ${Ad_G(X)}$ is understandable for some \overline{M} (in progress).

TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces (7/7)

Cor.

Let $\overline{M} := \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(n)$. Then \exists one-to-one correspondence between {nonflat TG-surface in \overline{M} }/Isom(\overline{M}) {partition of n} \ {[1ⁿ]}.

Ex.

- n = 3: $\#\{[3], [2, 1]\} = 2$.
- n = 4: $\#\{[4], [3, 1], [2, 2], [2, 1, 1]\} = 4$.
- n = 5: $\#\{[5], [4, 1], [3, 2], [3, 1, 1], [2, 2, 1], [2, 1, 1, 1]\} = 6$.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - 釣�?



Topic of this section

- \overline{M} : irr. Hermitian symmetric space of noncpt type.
- $\overline{M} \supset M$: TG-complex curve.

(i.e., dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 1, dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2, *J*-invariant.)

Thm. (Kubo-Okuda-T.)

Let \overline{M} be as above. Then

• $\#({\mathsf{TG-cplx curves in } \overline{M}}) = \operatorname{rk}(\overline{M}).$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

= 900

TG-complex curves (2/4)

Recall

$$\#({\mathsf{TG-cplx curves in }\overline{M}}) = \operatorname{rk}(\overline{M}).$$

Proof

(Step 1)

• M : TG-surface in $\overline{M} \leftrightarrow X \in \mathfrak{n}$ satisfying (C1), (C2). (Step 2, cf. Satake)

• M : TG-cplx curve $\Rightarrow X$ is in a good position.

(Step 3)

One can describe such X's explicitly, in terms of roots.
 (∃ exactly r := rk(M) such X's)



Recall

$$\#({\mathsf{TG-cplx curves in }\overline{M}}) = \operatorname{rk}(\overline{M}).$$

Ex. $(\overline{M} := \mathbb{C}H^n)$ (1) $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbb{C}H^n) = 1$, (2) $\exists 1 \text{ TG-complex curve (up to <math>\operatorname{Isom}(\overline{M}))$ (TG-cplx submfds are $\mathbb{C}H^n \supset \mathbb{C}H^{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathbb{C}H^1$).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙



Recall

$$\#({\mathsf{TG-cplx curves in }\overline{M}}) = \operatorname{rk}(\overline{M}).$$

Ex.
$$(\overline{M} := G_2^*(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 4)$$

(1)
$$\operatorname{rk}(G_2^*(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 2$$
,

(2) \exists two TG-complex curves (up to $\text{Isom}(\overline{M})$):

• $\overline{M} \supset G_2^*(\mathbb{R}^4) \cong \mathbb{C}\mathrm{H}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathrm{H}^1$: TG-complex submfd.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- TG-complex curves are: $\mathbb{C} H^1 \times \{ pt \} \text{, and "diagonal } \mathbb{C} H^{1"} \text{.}$



In this section,

- we propose a procedure to classify TG-submfds,
- and apply it to $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n)$ with n = 3, 4.

Procedure

(Step 1) Classify all nonflat TG-surfaces Σ in \overline{M} .

(This is a topic of the previous sections.)

(Step 2) For each Σ , classify nonflat TG-submfds ($\supset \Sigma$).

Key Fact

 \forall nonflat TG-submfd contains nonflat TG-surface.

TG-submfds in AI (2/6)

Thm. (Klein, cf. Kimura-Kubo-Okuda-T.)

 \forall max. TG-submfd in $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ is congruent to

- $[\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(2)] imes \mathbb{R}^+$, or
- $SO^{0}(1,2)/S(O(1) \times O(2)).$

Note

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{H}^2 &\cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2) \\ &\cong \mathrm{SO}^0(1,2)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(1)\times \mathrm{O}(2)). \end{split}$$

TG-submfds in AI (3/6)

Step 1 of Proof (Fujimaru-Kubo-T.)

 \exists exactly 2 nonflat TG-surfaces in $SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SO(3)$:

•
$$\mathfrak{L}^{1} := \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

• $\mathfrak{L}^{2} := \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$

TG-submfds in AI (4/6)

Step 2 of Proof

Consider \mathfrak{L}^1 (ToDo: Classify LTS $\mathfrak{L} (\supseteq \mathfrak{L}^1)$):

•
$$[\mathfrak{L}^1,\mathfrak{L}^1]
ightarrow \left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight)=:X.$$

- \mathfrak{L} must be ad_X -invariant.
- ad_X -weight space dec.: $\mathfrak{p} \ominus \mathfrak{L}^1 = V^1(0) \oplus V^2(\pm i)$.
- Candidates: $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}^1 \oplus V^1(0)$ or $\mathfrak{L}^1 \oplus V^2(\pm i)$.
- The former is LTS, but the latter is not.

TG-submfds in AI (5/6)

Step 2 of Proof (Continued)

Consider \mathfrak{L}^2 (ToDo: Classify LTS $\mathfrak{L} (\supseteq \mathfrak{L}^2)$):

• By similar calculations, $\not\exists$ such \mathfrak{L} .

Thm. (recall)

 \forall max. TG-submfd in $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ is congruent to

- $[\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(2)] imes \mathbb{R}^+$, or
- $SO^0(1,2)/S(O(1) \times O(2)).$

Comment

Both TG-submfds are reflective.

TG-submfds in AI (6/6)

Thm. (Kimura-Kubo-Okuda-T.)

- \forall max. TG-submfd in $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(4)$ is congruent to
 - $[\operatorname{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(3)] \times \mathbb{R}^+$,
 - Sp(2,ℝ)/U(2),
 - $[SL(2,\mathbb{R})/SO(2)] \times [SL(2,\mathbb{R})/SO(2)] \times \mathbb{R}^+$,
 - $SO^{0}(2,2)/S(O(2) \times O(2))$,
 - $SO^{0}(1,3)/S(O(1) \times O(3)).$

Note

• This must be the first classification result for a rank 3 space.

Summary and Problems

Our Studies

- TG-surfaces in symmetric spaces.
- TG-complex curves in Hermitian symmetric spaces.
- TG-submfds in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n)$ with n = 3, 4.

Further Problems

- $\#({\text{nonflat TG-surfaces in }\overline{M}}/{\text{Isom}(\overline{M})}) = ?$
- Classify TG-submfds in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n)$ with $n \ge 5$.
- Classify TG-submfds for other M.
- Determine the index $i(\overline{M}) := \min \operatorname{codim}[\operatorname{proper TG-submfds}].$

◆□→ ◆□→ ◆目→ ◆目→ ○○○