Asymptotic expansions of the null distribution of the LR test statistic for random-effects covariance structure in a parallel profile model

Yu Inatsu and Hirofumi Wakaki Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University

ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with the null distribution of the likelihood ratio test statistic $-2 \log \Lambda$ for testing the adequacy of a random-effects covariance structure in a parallel profile model. It is known that the null distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$ converges to χ_f^2 or $0.5\chi_f^2 + 0.5\chi_{f+1}^2$ when the sample size tends to infinity. In order to extend this result, we derive asymptotic expansions of the null distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$. The accuracy of the approximations based on the limiting distribution and an asymptotic expansion are compared through numerical experiments.

Key Words: asymptotic expansion, parallel profile model, random-effects covariance structure, null distribution, likelihood ratio test.

1. Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{x}_{j}^{(g)}$ be a *p*-dimensional vector of observations on the *j*th individual in the *g*th group, where $j = 1, \ldots, N_g$ and $g = 1, \ldots, k$, and let $N = N_1 + \cdots + N_k$. We assume that $\boldsymbol{x}_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N_k}^{(k)}$ are mutually independent and distributed as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{j}^{(g)} \sim N_{p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(g)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(g)} = \delta_{g} \boldsymbol{1}_{p} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \ \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_{1}, \dots, \mu_{p})',$$
(1.1)

where $\mathbf{1}_p$ is a *p*-dimensional vector of ones, $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k$ and μ_1, \ldots, μ_p are unknown parameters, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is an unknown positive definite matrix. Here, we may assume that $\delta_k = 0$, without loss of generality. The model (1.1) is called a parallel profile model. In the parallel profile model, Yokoyama and Fujikoshi (1993) assumed the following random-effects covariance structure:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \lambda^2 \mathbf{1}_p \mathbf{1}'_p + \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_p, \ \lambda^2 \ge 0, \ \sigma^2 > 0.$$
(1.2)

By making the above rather strong assumption for Σ , they obtained more efficient estimators and more powerful tests. Needless to say, these results are only valid if Σ satisfies (1.2). Hence, it is important to test the hypothesis that Σ has the random-effects covariance structure. Yokoyama (1995) proposed a likelihood ratio test for testing the hypothesis

$$\mathbf{H}_0: \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \lambda^2 \mathbf{1}_p \mathbf{1}'_p + \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_p, \ \lambda^2 \ge 0, \ \sigma^2 > 0 \text{ v.s. } \mathbf{H}_1: \text{not } \mathbf{H}_0,$$
(1.3)

and they derived a restricted likelihood ratio test statistic (R-LRT) for testing (1.3). They showed that the null distribution of the R-LRT converges to χ_f^2 or $0.5\chi_f^2 + 0.5\chi_{f+1}^2$ when the sample size tends to infinity, where $f = (p^2 + p - 4)/2$. Therefore, the hypothesis (1.3) is tested based on this asymptotic property.

On the other hand, Srivastava and Singull (2012) constructed the test based on the likelihood ratio, without the non-negativity of λ^2 in (1.3), for testing the random-effects covariance structure under the parallel profile model. In other words, they considered the following hypothesis:

$$H_{0^*}: \mathbf{\Sigma} = \rho \mathbf{1}_p \mathbf{1}'_p + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_p, \ \rho > -\sigma^2 / p, \ \sigma^2 > 0 \text{ v.s. } H_{1^*}: \text{not } H_{0^*}.$$
(1.4)

Here, the covariance structure given by H_{0^*} is called the exchangeable covariance structure. They derived a modified likelihood ratio test statistic (M-LRT) for testing (1.4). Their modification is based on the Box's (1949) asymptotic expansion with Bartlett's correction, and the order of the error term of the null distribution for the M-LRT is $O(N^{-2})$. It is known that under H_{0^*} the limiting distribution of the M-LRT is χ_f^2 . Then, the hypothesis (1.4) is tested by using χ_f^2 .

Under H_0 Srivastava and Singull (2012) showed that the limiting approximation of the distribution of the M-LRT is better than that of the R-LRT proposed by Yokoyama (1995). Moreover, through numerical experiments, they also showed that the power for the M-LRT is larger than the power for the R-LRT. For these reasons, they suggested using the M-LRT even in the case of testing (1.3).

However, since "the good test" and "the adequacy of the approximation" are different problems, these should be considered separately. In particular, for the adequacy of the approximation, this is not a fair comparison because the R-LRT is not modified. The main purpose of this paper is to derive an asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of the R-LRT up to the order N^{-1} under the parallel profile model.

The remainder of the present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 and 3 we derive an asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of the R-LRT when $\lambda^2 > 0$ and $\lambda^2 = 0$, respectively. In Section 4 we provide the relevant theorem and corollary. In Section 5 we compare the accuracy of the approximations based on the limiting distribution and an asymptotic expansion through numerical experiments. In Section 6 we conclude our discussion. Technical details are provided in the Appendix.

2. Asymptotic expansion of the null distribution when $\lambda^2 > 0$

In this section we derive an asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of the R-LRT proposed by Yokoyama (1995) under H₀ and $\lambda^2 > 0$. First, we consider the definition and decomposition of the restricted likelihood ratio.

2.1. Definition and decomposition of the restricted likelihood ratio

The restricted likelihood ratio Λ proposed by Yokoyama (1995), is given by

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} \Lambda_1, & \text{if } s_1/f_1 \ge s_2/f_2, \\ \Lambda_2, & \text{if } s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2, \end{cases}$$

where, $f_1 = N$, $f_2 = N(p-1)$,

$$\Lambda_{1} = \frac{\left(\frac{s_{3}}{f_{1}}\right)^{f_{1}/2} \left|\frac{1}{f_{1}}\boldsymbol{S}_{4}\right|^{f_{1}/2}}{\left(\frac{s_{1}}{f_{1}}\right)^{f_{1}/2} \left(\frac{s_{2}}{f_{2}}\right)^{f_{2}/2}}, \ \Lambda_{2} = \frac{\left(\frac{s_{3}}{f_{1}}\right)^{f_{1}/2} \left|\frac{1}{f_{1}}\boldsymbol{S}_{4}\right|^{f_{1}/2}}{\left(\frac{s_{1}+s_{2}}{f_{1}+f_{2}}\right)^{(f_{1}+f_{2})/2}},$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{t} &= \sum_{g=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{g}} (\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})', \ \mathbf{S}_{w} = \sum_{g=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{g}} (\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)}) (\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)})', \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{g=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{g}} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)}, \ \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)} = \frac{1}{N_{g}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{g}} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)}, \\ s_{1} &= \frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{p}' \mathbf{S}_{w} \mathbf{1}_{p}, \ s_{2} = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{S}_{t} - \frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{p}' \mathbf{S}_{t} \mathbf{1}_{p}, \ s_{3} = \left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{p}' \mathbf{S}_{w}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{p}\right)^{-1}, \ |\mathbf{S}_{4}| = \frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{p}' \mathbf{S}_{t}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{p} |\mathbf{S}_{t}|. \end{split}$$

Then, the restricted likelihood ratio test statistic is defined by $-2\log \Lambda$.

Under H_{0*} , from the definitions of Λ_1 , Λ_2 , s_1 and s_2 , the restricted likelihood ratio is decomposed by using Bartlett decomposition of Wishart distribution (see, e.g., Fujikoshi, *et al.*, 2010) as

$$\Lambda_1 = \left(\prod_{l=0}^{p-2} B_l\right)^{N/2} \times (p-1)^{N(p-1)/2} K_0^{N/2}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_1 \times X, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$K_0 = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{K_j}{K}, \quad K = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} K_k, \quad X = \left\{ \frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} \frac{s_1}{s_1 + s_2} \left(1 - \frac{s_1}{s_1 + s_2} \right)^{p-1} \right\}^{N/2},$$
(2.2)

and

$$B_{0} \sim Beta\left(\frac{N-(p-1)-k}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right), \quad B_{l} \sim Beta\left(\frac{N-l-1}{2}, \frac{l}{2}\right), \quad (2.3)$$
$$K_{j} \sim \chi^{2}_{N-1}, \quad s_{1} \sim \tau^{2}\chi^{2}_{N-k}, \quad s_{2} \sim \sigma^{2}\chi^{2}_{(N-1)(p-1)}, \quad \tau^{2} = p\lambda^{2} + \sigma^{2}.$$

Here, it is easily checked that $B_0, \ldots, B_{p-2}, K_1, \ldots, K_{p-1}, s_1$ and s_2 are mutually independent. Hence, Λ_1 , s_1 and s_2 are also mutually independent. Note that the results obtained in this subsection are derived under H_{0*} . Therefore, these also hold under H_0 .

2.2. Asymptotic expansion under H_0 and $\lambda^2 > 0$

Suppose that the hypothesis H_0 is true and $\lambda^2 > 0$. Then, Λ_1 , s_1 and s_2 are mutually independent. Thus, from the definition of Λ , the distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$ can be written as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda \leq c) &= \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_1 \leq c, s_1/f_1 \geq s_2/f_2) + \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_2 \leq c, s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_1 \leq c)\mathbf{P}(s_1/f_1 \geq s_2/f_2) + \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_2 \leq c, s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_1 \leq c) - \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_1 \leq c)\mathbf{P}(s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_2 \leq c, s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2). \end{split}$$

From $\tau^2 > \sigma^2$ and the definitions of s_1 and s_2 , for large N, the last two terms satisfy that

$$\begin{aligned} &|-\mathrm{P}(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c)\mathrm{P}(s_{1}/f_{1} < s_{2}/f_{2}) + \mathrm{P}(-2\log\Lambda_{2} \leq c, s_{1}/f_{1} < s_{2}/f_{2}) \\ &\leq 2\mathrm{P}(s_{1}/f_{1} < s_{2}/f_{2}) \\ &= 2\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\frac{N-k}{(N-1)(p-1)}\frac{\tau^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} < \frac{s_{2}/\{\sigma^{2}(N-1)(p-1)\}}{s_{1}/\{\tau^{2}(N-k)\}}\right) \leq 2\mathrm{P}\left(t < \frac{\chi_{l_{2}}^{2}/l_{2}}{\chi_{l_{1}}^{2}/l_{1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where t is a constant (t > 1), $l_1 = N - k$ and $l_2 = (N - 1)(p - 1)$. Here, the last probability is evaluated by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $l_1 = N - k$, $l_2 = (N - 1)(p - 1)$ and t > 1. Then, it holds that

$$P\left(t < \frac{\chi_{l_2}^2/l_2}{\chi_{l_1}^2/l_1}\right) = O(c_0^{-N}) \quad (c_0 > 1).$$

Proof. Let c be a constant satisfying $t^{-1} < c < 1$. Then, it holds that

$$P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2}{l_2} > t\frac{\chi_{l_1}^2}{l_1}\right) \le P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_1}^2}{l_1} < c\right) + P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2}{l_2} > tc\right).$$
(2.4)

Using Markov's inequality and the moment generating function of the chi-squared distribution, for any negative number a, the first term of the right hand side in (2.4) can be evaluated as

$$P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_1}^2}{l_1} < c\right) = P(a\chi_{l_1}^2 > acl_1) = P(e^{a\chi_{l_1}^2} > e^{acl_1}) \le \frac{E[e^{a\chi_{l_1}^2}]}{e^{acl_1}} = \left\{\frac{1}{(1-2a)e^{2ac}}\right\}^{\frac{l_1}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_1}^2}{l_1} < c\right) \le \inf_{a < 0} \left\{\frac{1}{(1 - 2a)e^{2ac}}\right\}^{\frac{l_1}{2}}$$

Here, it is easily showed that $(1-2a)e^{2ac}$ is maximized at $a = (2c)^{-1}(c-1)$ (< 0) under a < 0, and its maximum value is $e^{c-1-\log c}$ (> 1). Let $c_1 = e^{c-1-\log c}$, and let $c_{11} = c_1^{1/2}$ (> 1). Then, it holds that

$$P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_1}^2}{l_1} < c\right) \le c_1^{-\frac{l_1}{2}} = c_1^{k/2} (c_1^{1/2})^{-N} = c_1^{k/2} (c_{11})^{-N} = O(c_{11}^{-N}).$$
(2.5)

Next, let tc = d (> 1). Using the same argument, for any positive number b satisfying 0 < b < 1/2, the second term of the right hand side in (2.4) can be evaluated as

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2}{l_2} > tc\right) = \mathbf{P}(b\chi_{l_2}^2 > bdl_2) = \mathbf{P}(e^{b\chi_{l_2}^2} > e^{bdl_2}) \le \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{b\chi_{l_2}^2}]}{e^{bdl_2}} = \left\{\frac{1}{(1-2b)e^{2bd}}\right\}^{\frac{l_2}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2}{l_2} > tc\right) \le \inf_{0 < b < 1/2} \left\{\frac{1}{(1-2b)e^{2bd}}\right\}^{\frac{l_2}{2}}$$

Similarly, it can be showed that $(1-2b)e^{2bd}$ is maximized at $b = 2^{-1} - (2d)^{-1}$ (> 0) under 0 < b < 1/2, and its maximum value is $e^{d-1-\log d}$ (> 1). Let $c_2 = e^{d-1-\log d}$, and let $c_{22} = c_2^{(p-1)/2}$ (> 1). Then, it holds that

$$P\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2}{l_2} > tc\right) \le c_2^{-\frac{l_2}{2}} = c_2^{(p-1)/2} (c_2^{(p-1)/2})^{-N} = c_{22} c_{22}^{-N} = O(c_{22}^{-N}).$$
(2.6)

Let $c_0 = \min\{c_{11}, c_{22}\}$ (> 1). Then, substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (2.4) yields

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2/l_2}{\chi_{l_1}^2/l_1} > t\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\chi_{l_2}^2}{l_2} > t\frac{\chi_{l_1}^2}{l_1}\right) \le O(c_{11}^{-N}) + O(c_{22}^{-N}) \le O(c_0^{-N}).$$

From Lemma 2.1, the distribution function of $-2 \log \Lambda$ is

$$P(-2\log\Lambda \le c) = P(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c) + O(c_0^{-N}).$$
 (2.7)

Thus, we derive an asymptotic expansion of $P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 \leq c)$. From (2.2) and (2.3), it is easily checked that the *h*th moment of B_0 , B_l and K_0 are given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[B_0^h] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-(p-1)-k}{2}+h)}{\Gamma(\frac{N-(p-1)-k}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-k}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N-k}{2}+h)}, \ \mathbf{E}[B_l^h] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-l-1}{2}+h)}{\Gamma(\frac{N-l-1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}+h)}, \\ \mathbf{E}[K_0^h] &= \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}+h)}{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2})} \right\}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}+(p-1)h)}, \end{split}$$
(2.8)

respectively. Hence, from (2.1) and (2.8), the log-characteristic function of $-2 \log \Lambda_1$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \log \varphi(t) &= \log \mathbf{E}[e^{-2it\log\Lambda_1}] = \log \mathbf{E}[\Lambda_1^{-2it}] \\ &= \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-(p-1)-k}{2} - Nit\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-(p-1)-k}{2}\right) \\ &+ \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-k}{2}\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-k}{2} - Nit\right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{p-2} \left\{ \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-l-1}{2} - Nit\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-l-1}{2}\right) \\ &+ \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2} - Nit\right) \right\} - N(p-1)it\log(p-1) \\ &+ (p-1) \left\{ \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2} - Nit\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \right\} \\ &+ \log \Gamma\left(\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2} - N(p-1)it\right). \end{split}$$

Here, the expansion formula for the log-gamma function is given by the following lemma (see, e.g., Barnes, 1899).

Lemma 2.2. Let z be a complex number, and let α be a constant. Then, it holds that

$$\log \Gamma(z+\alpha) = \left(z+\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2(\alpha)z^{-1} + \varepsilon,$$

where $|\arg z| \le \pi$, $\beta_2(\alpha) = \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1/6$, $|\varepsilon| \le C|z|^{-2}$ and C is a positive constant.

From Lemma 2.2, the log-characteristic function $\log \varphi(t)$ can be expanded as

$$\log \varphi(t) = -\frac{f}{2} \log(1 - 2it) + \frac{M_{p,k}}{N} \{ (1 - 2it)^{-1} - 1 \} + \varepsilon_1,$$

where $f = (p^2 + p - 4)/2$, $|\varepsilon_1| \le C_1 N^{-2}$ and

$$M_{p,k} = \frac{(p-1)^2 + 2(p-1)(k+1)}{4} + \frac{(p-2)(p-1)(2p+9)}{24} + \frac{(p-2)(4p-3)}{6(p-1)}.$$
 (2.9)

Note that C_1 does not depend on t. Hence, the characteristic function of $-2 \log \Lambda_1$ can be written as

$$\varphi(t) = e^{\log \varphi(t)} = (1 - 2it)^{-\frac{f}{2}} + \frac{M_{p,k}}{N} \left\{ (1 - 2it)^{-\frac{f+2}{2}} - (1 - 2it)^{-\frac{f}{2}} \right\} + \varepsilon_2.$$
(2.10)

Here, it is easily checked that there exists a positive constant C_2 such that C_2 does not depend on t and $|\varepsilon_2| \leq |t|^{-f/2} C_2 N^{-2}$. Inverting (2.10), the distribution function of $-2 \log \Lambda_1$ is given by

$$P(-2\log \Lambda_1 \le c) = G_f(c) + \frac{M_{p,k}}{N} \{ G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c) \} + \varepsilon_3,$$
(2.11)

where $G_s(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of the chi-squared distribution with s degrees of freedom, and

$$|\varepsilon_3| \le \frac{C_2}{\pi N^2} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{\sin(ct)}{t} \right| dt + \frac{C_2}{\pi N^2} \int_{|t|>1} \frac{1}{|t|^{1+f/2}} dt = \frac{cC_3}{N^2} + \frac{C_4}{N^2}, \quad (C_3, C_4 > 0).$$

Therefore, from (2.7) and (2.11) we obtain the asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$ as

$$P(-2\log\Lambda \le c) = G_f(c) + \frac{M_{p,k}}{N} \{G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c)\} + O(N^{-2}).$$
(2.12)

3. Asymptotic expansion of the null distribution when $\lambda^2 = 0$

Suppose that the hypothesis H_0 is true and $\lambda^2 = 0$. Let U be a random variable distributed as Beta((N-k)/2, (N-1)(p-1)/2). Here, since s_1 and s_2 are independent, and $\lambda^2 = 0$, i.e., $\tau^2 = \sigma^2$, from (2.3) it holds that

$$\frac{s_1}{s_1+s_2} = \frac{\tau^2(s_1/\tau^2)}{\tau^2(s_1/\tau^2) + \sigma^2(s_2/\sigma^2)} = \frac{s_1/\tau^2}{s_1/\tau^2 + s_2/\sigma^2} \sim Beta\left(\frac{N-k}{2}, \frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right).$$

Hence, $s_1/(s_1 + s_2)$ and U have the same distribution. Thus, from the definition of Λ , the distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$ is written by using U as

$$P(-2\log\Lambda \le c) = P(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c)P(U \ge 1/p) + P(-2\log\Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p),$$
(3.1)

where $W = -N \log\{p^p (p-1)^{-(p-1)} U(1-U)^{p-1}\}$. Next, we expand $P(U \ge 1/p)$ and $P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p)$.

3.1. Expansion of $P(U \ge 1/p)$

Since U is distributed as Beta((N-k)/2, (N-1)(p-1)/2), it holds that

$$P\left(U < \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{B\left(\frac{N-k}{2}, \frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{p}} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} du$$

$$= \varepsilon + \frac{1}{B\left(\frac{N-k}{2}, \frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right)} \int_{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} du,$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{B\left(\frac{N-k}{2}, \frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} du,$$
(3.2)

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the beta function. From Stirling's formula, the inverse of the beta function is expanded as

$$\frac{1}{B\left(\frac{N-k}{2},\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} \right\}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{p^{-\frac{p+k}{2}}}{(p-1)^{-\frac{p}{2}}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)}\right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\}.$$
(3.3)

From (3.3), for large N, it holds that

$$|\varepsilon| \le C\sqrt{N} \left\{ \frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} \right\}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{p^{-pN}}{N} \le p^{-\frac{N}{2}} = O(a_1^{-N}), \tag{3.4}$$

where a_1 and C are positive constants, and $a_1 > 1$.

On the other hand, the integral of (3.2) can be expressed as

$$\int_{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} du = \int_{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{\frac{N}{2}\log u(1-u)^{p-1}} u^{-\frac{k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}-1} du.$$
(3.5)

Let $f(u) = \log u(1-u)^{p-1}$ and $g(u) = u^{-k/2-1}(1-u)^{-(p-1)/2-1}$. Note that f'(1/p) = 0. Then, for any u satisfying $1/p^{2p} \le u \le 1/p$, using Taylor expansion at u = 1/p, f(u) and g(u) can be expanded as

$$f(u) = s_0 + s_2 \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 + s_3 \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^3 + s_4 \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^4 + \varepsilon \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^5,$$

$$g(u) = g_0 + g_1 \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right) + g_2 \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 + \delta \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^3,$$
(3.6)

where

$$s_{0} = f\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ s_{2} = \frac{1}{2}f''\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ s_{3} = \frac{1}{6}f'''\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ s_{4} = \frac{1}{24}f^{(4)}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ \varepsilon = \frac{1}{120}f^{(5)}\left(p^{\star}\right),$$
$$g_{0} = g\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ g_{1} = g'\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ g_{2} = \frac{1}{2}g''\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \ \delta = \frac{1}{6}f'''\left(\tilde{p}\right),$$

and $p^{\star}, \tilde{p} \in [1/p^{2p}, 1/p]$. Note that ε and δ are bounded. From (3.6), the right hand side of (3.5) is given by

$$e^{\frac{N}{2}s_{0}} \int_{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[e^{\frac{N}{2}s_{2}\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}} e^{\frac{N}{2}\left\{s_{3}\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{3}+s_{4}\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{4}+\varepsilon\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{5}\right\}} \times \left\{g_{0}+g_{1}\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)+g_{2}\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}+\delta\left(u-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{3}\right\} \right] du.$$
(3.7)

Let $\sqrt{-s_2} = t$, and let $\sqrt{Nt}(u - 1/p) = y$. Then, (3.7) can be written as

$$e^{\frac{N}{2}s_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}t} \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} e^{\frac{s_3 y^3}{2\sqrt{N}t^3} + \frac{s_4 y^4}{2Nt^4} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}y^5}{N\sqrt{N}}} \times \left(g_0 + \frac{g_1 y}{\sqrt{N}t} + \frac{g_2 y^2}{Nt^2} + \frac{\tilde{\delta}y^3}{N\sqrt{N}}\right) dy,$$

(3.8)

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ are bounded, and $T = t(p^{-1} - p^{-2p}), \ \tilde{\varepsilon} = 2^{-1}t^{-5}\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\delta} = t^{-3}\delta$. Let

$$w_1 = \frac{s_3}{2t^3}, \ w_2 = \frac{s_4}{2t^4}, \ z_1 = \frac{g_1}{t}, \ z_2 = \frac{g_2}{t^2}.$$

Then, using $e^x = 1 + x + 2^{-1}x^2 + 6^{-1}e^{x^*}x^3$, $x^* \in [0, x]$, (3.8) is expanded as

$$Kg_{0} \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} dy + \frac{K}{\sqrt{N}} \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} (z_{1}y + g_{0}w_{1}y^{3}) dy + \frac{K}{N} \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} \left\{ z_{2}y^{2} + (g_{0}w_{2} + z_{1}w_{1})y^{4} + \frac{g_{0}w_{1}^{2}}{2}y^{6} \right\} dy + \varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3} + \varepsilon_{4},$$
(3.9)

where

$$\begin{split} K &= e^{\frac{N}{2}s_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}t}, \ \varepsilon_1 = \frac{K}{N\sqrt{N}} \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^0 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} e^{\frac{N}{2}\alpha} \tilde{\delta}y^3 dy, \ \varepsilon_2 = K \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^0 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} g_0 \omega dy, \\ \varepsilon_3 &= K \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^0 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \frac{z_1 y}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\omega + \frac{w_2 y^4}{N} + \frac{w_1^2 y^6}{2N}\right) dy, \\ \varepsilon_4 &= K \int_{-\sqrt{N}T}^0 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \frac{z_2 y^2}{N} \left(\omega + \frac{w_2 y^4}{N} + \frac{w_1^2 y^6}{2N} + \frac{w_1 y^3}{\sqrt{N}}\right) dy, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{N}{2}\alpha &= \frac{s_3y^3}{2\sqrt{N}t^3} + \frac{s_4y^4}{2Nt^4} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}y^5}{N\sqrt{N}} = \frac{N}{2}\frac{1}{6}f^{\prime\prime\prime}(u^*)\left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^3,\\ \omega &= \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}y^5 + w_1w_2y^7}{N\sqrt{N}} + \frac{w_2^2y^8 + 2w_1\tilde{\varepsilon}y^8}{2N^2} + \frac{(\tilde{\varepsilon})^2y^{10}}{2N^3} + \frac{w_2\tilde{\varepsilon}y^9}{N^2\sqrt{N}} \\ &+ \frac{e^{\alpha^*}}{6}\left(\frac{w_1y^3}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{w_2y^4}{N} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}y^5}{N\sqrt{N}}\right)^3. \end{split}$$

Note that $\alpha^* \leq 0$, because, for any u satisfying $1/p^{2p} \leq u \leq 1/p$, f'''(u) is non-negative, i.e.,

$$\frac{s_3 y^3}{2\sqrt{N}t^3} + \frac{s_4 y^4}{2Nt^4} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}y^5}{N\sqrt{N}} = \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{6} f'''(u^*) \left(u - \frac{1}{p}\right)^3 \le 0.$$

Next, we evaluate each term in (3.9). It is easily checked that

$$\int_{-\sqrt{NT}}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} y^v dy = 2^{\frac{v-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{v+1}{2}\right) (-1)^v + O(c^{-N}), \quad (c > 1),$$

for any non-negative integer v. Thus, using this result, the first three terms in (3.9) are given by

$$Kg_{0} \int_{-\sqrt{NT}}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} dy = Kg_{0} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} + O(c_{1}^{-N}) \right\},$$

$$\frac{K}{\sqrt{N}} \int_{-\sqrt{NT}}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} (z_{1}y + g_{0}w_{1}y^{3}) dy = -\frac{K}{\sqrt{N}} \{z_{1} + 2g_{0}w_{1} + O(c_{2}^{-N})\},$$

$$\frac{K}{N} \int_{-\sqrt{NT}}^{0} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} \left\{ z_{2}y^{2} + (g_{0}w_{2} + z_{1}w_{1})y^{4} + \frac{g_{0}w_{1}^{2}}{2}y^{6} \right\} dy$$

$$= \frac{K}{N} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} z_{2} + (g_{0}w_{2} + z_{1}w_{1}) \frac{3\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} + \frac{15g_{0}w_{1}^{2}}{4}\sqrt{2\pi} + O(c_{3}^{-N}) \right\},$$
(3.10)

respectively, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 1$. Similarly, using $e^{N\alpha/2} \leq 1$, $e^{\alpha^*} \leq 1$ and $|y|^{m_1} \leq |y|^{m_2} + 1$, $(m_1 \leq m_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_1| &\leq \frac{KC}{N\sqrt{N}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} y^3 dy = K \times O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \\ |\varepsilon_i| &\leq \frac{K}{N\sqrt{N}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} (C_1 y^{13+i} + C_2) dy = K \times O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad (i = 2, 3, 4), \end{aligned}$$
(3.11)

where $C, C_1, C_2 > 0$. Here, g_0, w_1, w_2, z_1 , z_2 and K are written by using p, k and N as

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left\{ \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p} \right\}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{2(p-1)}}{p\sqrt{p}}, \ g_0 = \frac{p^{\frac{k+p}{2}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \frac{p\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p-1}},$$
$$w_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}(p-2)}{3\sqrt{p(p-1)}}, \ w_2 = -\frac{p^2 - 3p + 3}{2p(p-1)}, \ z_1 = \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}(p-1)} \frac{p^{\frac{k+p}{2}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \{2 + (1-p)(1+k)\},$$
$$z_2 = \frac{\sqrt{p(p-1)}}{4} \frac{p^{\frac{k+p}{2}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left\{ (k+2)(k+4) - \frac{2(k+2)(p+1)}{p-1} + \frac{(p+1)(p+3)}{(p-1)^2} \right\},$$
(3.12)

respectively. Hence, from (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} du = \int_{\frac{1}{p^{2p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{\frac{N}{2} \log u (1-u)^{p-1}} u^{-\frac{k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}-1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left\{ \frac{(p-1)^{(p-1)}}{p^{p}} \right\}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{p^{\frac{p+k}{2}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sqrt{\pi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{3k(p-1)-p-1}{3\sqrt{p(p-1)}} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{N} \frac{3k^{2}(p-1)^{2}+5p^{2}-2p-1}{12p(p-1)} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left\{ \frac{(p-1)^{(p-1)}}{p^{p}} \right\}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{p^{\frac{p+k}{2}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sqrt{\pi} e^{\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^{2}(p-1)^{2}+5p^{2}-2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{3k(p-1)-p-1}{3\sqrt{p(p-1)}} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \right\}. \end{split}$$
(3.13)

Finally, from (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.13), the probability P(U < 1/p) is expanded as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}(U < 1/p) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \sqrt{\pi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{3k(p-1) - p - 1}{3\sqrt{p(p-1)}} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{3k(p-1) - p - 1}{6\sqrt{\pi p(p-1)}} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \end{split}$$

This implies

$$P(U \ge 1/p) = 1 - P(U < 1/p) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{3k(p-1) - p - 1}{6\sqrt{\pi p(p-1)}} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$
(3.14)

3.2. Expansion of $P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p)$

From the property of the conditional probability, $P(-2\log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p)$ can be written as

$$P(-2\log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p) = P(U < 1/p) \times P(-2\log \Lambda_1 + W \le c|U < 1/p).$$
(3.15)

Let Y = W|(U < 1/p), and let $\psi(y)$ be a probability density function of Y. Since Λ_1 and (W, U) are independent, Λ_1 and Y are also independent. Hence, it holds that

$$P(-2\log\Lambda_1 + W \le c|U < 1/p) = P(-2\log\Lambda_1 + Y \le c) = P(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c - Y)$$

=
$$\int P(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c - y)\psi(y)dy.$$
 (3.16)

From (2.11), the integral of (3.16) can be expressed as

$$\int \mathcal{P}(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c - y)\psi(y)dy = \int_0^c \mathcal{P}(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c - y)\psi(y)dy$$
$$= \int_0^c G_f(c - y)\psi(y)dy$$
$$+ \frac{M_{p,k}}{N} \int_0^c \{G_{f+2}(c - y) - G_f(c - y)\}\psi(y)dy$$
$$+ \int_0^c \varepsilon\psi(y)dy,$$
(3.17)

because both $-2\log \Lambda_1$ and Y are non-negative. Here, the last integral of (3.17) satisfies that

$$\left| \int_{0}^{c} \varepsilon \psi(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{N^{2}} \int_{0}^{c} \{ (c-y)C_{3} + C_{4} \} \psi(y) dy$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N^{2}} \int_{0}^{c} \{ cC_{3} + C_{4} \} \psi(y) dy$$

$$\leq \frac{\{ cC_{3} + C_{4} \}}{N^{2}} \int \psi(y) dy = \frac{\{ cC_{3} + C_{4} \}}{N^{2}} = O(N^{-2}).$$
(3.18)

Next, we expand $\psi(y)$.

We consider the following relation,

$$y = -N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}}u(1-u)^{p-1} = -N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} - N\log u(1-u)^{p-1}, \quad (3.19)$$

where y is defined on (0, c] and c is a positive constant. Similarly, u is defined on $[u^*, 1/p)$, and u^* is a positive number satisfying

$$-N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}}u^*(1-u^*)^{p-1} = c.$$
(3.20)

Let $f(u) = \log u(1-u)^{p-1}$. Then, the following expansion holds (see, Appendix).

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{b_1}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{y} + \frac{b_2}{N}y + \frac{b_3}{N\sqrt{N}}y\sqrt{y} + \frac{\varepsilon}{N^2}y^2,$$
 (3.21)

where

$$b_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(1/p)}}, \ b_2 = \frac{b_1^4}{12} f'''(1/p),$$

$$b_3 = \frac{\{f'''(1/p)\}^2 b_1^7}{288} - \frac{f'''(1/p) b_1^3 b_2}{4} - \frac{f^{(4)}(1/p) b_1^5}{48}, \ \varepsilon = O(1).$$

On the other hand, given the event U < 1/p, a conditional probability density function $\phi(u)$ of U can be written as

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P(U < 1/p)B\left(\frac{N-k}{2}, \frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right)} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} & (0 < u < 1/p) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

Under u < 1/p, form (3.19) it holds that

$$\left|\frac{du}{dy}\right| = \frac{1}{\left|\frac{dy}{du}\right|} = -\frac{u(1-u)}{Np(u-1/p)}.$$
(3.22)

Hence, $\psi(y)$ is given by using the transformation formula and $\phi(u)$ as

$$\begin{split} \psi(y) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(U < 1/p) B\left(\frac{N-k}{2}, \frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}\right)} u^{\frac{N-k}{2}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{2}-1} \left| \frac{du}{dy} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(U < 1/p)} \beta^{-1} u^{N/2} (1-u)^{N(p-1)/2} u^{-k/2} (1-u)^{-(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{-Np(u-1/p)}, \end{split}$$

where $\beta = B((N - k)/2, (N - 1)(p - 1)/2)$. Noting that

$$u^{\frac{N}{2}}(1-u)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{2}} = \left\{\frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p}\right\}^{\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}},$$

using (3.3), $\psi(y)$ can be expressed as

$$\psi(y) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(U < 1/p)} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{p^{-\frac{p+k}{2}-1}}{(p-1)^{-\frac{p}{2}}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\}$$
$$\times e^{-\frac{y}{2}} g(u) \frac{1}{-\sqrt{N}(u-1/p)}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $g(u) = u^{-k/2}(1-u)^{-(p-1)/2}$. Here, from (3.20), there exists a positive constant u^{**} such that $u^{**} < u^*$ for any N. Thus, using Taylor expansion at u = 1/p, g(u) can be expanded as

$$g(u) = g_0 + g_1(u - 1/p) + \frac{1}{2}g_2(u - 1/p)^2 + \delta_1(u - 1/p)^3,$$

$$g_0 = g(1/p), \ g_1 = g'(1/p), \ g_2 = g''(1/p), \ \delta_1 = O(1).$$
(3.24)

Substituting (3.21) into (3.24), we obtain

$$g(u) = g_0 + \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{y} + \frac{q_2}{N}y + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{N\sqrt{N}}y\sqrt{y},$$

$$q_1 = -g_1b_1, \ q_2 = g_1b_2 + \frac{1}{2}g_2b_1^2, \ \varepsilon_1 = O(1).$$
(3.25)

Similarly, substituting (3.21) into (3.22), we can write

$$\frac{1}{-\sqrt{N}(u-1/p)} = \frac{1}{b_1\sqrt{y}(1-\delta^*)},$$

$$\delta^* = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{y} + \frac{c_2}{N}y + \frac{\delta_2}{N\sqrt{N}}y\sqrt{y} = o(1), \ c_1 = \frac{b_2}{b_1}, \ c_2 = \frac{b_3}{b_1}, \ \delta_2 = O(1).$$
(3.26)

Since $(1-x)^{-1} = 1 + x + x^2 + \delta_3 x^3$, $\delta_3 = O(1)$ when x = o(1), we have

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta^*} = 1 + \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{y} + \frac{r_2}{N}y + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{N\sqrt{N}}y\sqrt{y},$$
(3.27)

where $r_1 = c_1$, $r_2 = c_2 + c_1^2$ and $\varepsilon_2 = O(1)$. Therefore, substituting (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) into (3.23) yields

$$\psi(y) = K \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \left(g_0 + \frac{q_1 \sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{q_2 y}{N} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 y \sqrt{y}}{N\sqrt{N}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{r_1 \sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{r_2 y}{N} + \frac{\varepsilon_2 y \sqrt{y}}{N\sqrt{N}} \right)$$

= $K \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \left(g_0 + \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{y} + \frac{v_2}{N} y + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{N\sqrt{N}} y \sqrt{y} \right),$ (3.28)

where K, v_1 , v_2 and ε_3 are given by

$$K = \frac{1}{b_1} \frac{1}{P(U < 1/p)} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{p^{-\frac{p+k}{2}-1}}{(p-1)^{-\frac{p}{2}}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\},\$$

$$v_1 = g_0 r_1 + q_1, \ v_2 = g_0 r_2 + q_1 r_1 + q_2, \ \varepsilon_3 = O(1),$$

respectively. Let

$$J_0 = Kg_0\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)2^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ J_1 = Kv_1\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{2}\right)2^{\frac{2}{2}}, \ J_2 = Kv_2\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)2^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Furthermore, let $h_s(y)$ be a probability density function of the chi-squared distribution with s degrees of freedom. Then, from (3.28), the conditional probability density function $\psi(y)$ can be expressed as

$$\psi(y) = J_0 h_1(y) + \frac{J_1}{\sqrt{N}} h_2(y) + \frac{J_2}{N} h_3(y) + \frac{K\varepsilon_3 e^{-\frac{y}{2}}}{N\sqrt{N}} y.$$
(3.29)

Using the convolution formula

$$G_{f+s}(c) = \int_0^c G_f(c-y)h_s(y)dy,$$

substituting (3.29) into (3.17) yields

$$P(-2\log\Lambda_1 + Y \le c) = J_0 G_{f+1}(c) + \frac{J_1}{\sqrt{N}} G_{f+2}(c) + \frac{J_2}{N} G_{f+3}(c) + \frac{J_0 M_{p,k}}{N} \{G_{f+3}(c) - G_{f+1}(c)\} + \varepsilon,$$
(3.30)

where ε is given by

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &= \frac{J_1 M_{p,k}}{N\sqrt{N}} \{ G_{f+4}(c) - G_{f+2}(c) \} + \frac{J_2 M_{p,k}}{N^2} \{ G_{f+5}(c) - G_{f+3}(c) \} \\ &+ \frac{K\varepsilon_3}{N\sqrt{N}} \int_0^c e^{-\frac{y}{2}} y G_f(c-y) dy \\ &+ \frac{K\varepsilon_3 M_{p,k}}{N^2\sqrt{N}} \int_0^c e^{-\frac{y}{2}} y \{ G_{f+2}(c-y) - G_f(c-y) \} dy + O(N^{-2}). \end{split}$$

Here, K, J_0 , J_1 and J_2 are given by

$$K = \frac{1}{P(U < 1/p)} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{p^{-\frac{p+k}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}}{(p-1)^{-\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\},$$

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{P(U < 1/p)} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\},$$

$$J_1 = \frac{3k(p-1) - p - 1}{6\sqrt{\pi p(p-1)}} \frac{1}{P(U < 1/p)} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\},$$

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p - 1}{12p(p-1)} \frac{1}{P(U < 1/p)} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p-1}{12p(p-1)} \right)} + O(N^{-2}) \right\},$$
(3.31)

respectively. In addition, it is easily checked that $\varepsilon = O(N^{-3/2})$ because J_1 , J_2 , $M_{p,k}$, $G_s(c)$, $G_{s+2}(c) - G_s(c)$, K, ε_3 and $ye^{-y/2}$ are bounded under $0 < y \le c$. Therefore, from (3.15), (3.18), (3.30) and (3.31) we obtain

$$P(-2\log \Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p) = P(-2\log \Lambda_{1} + Y \leq c) \times P(U < 1/p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}G_{f+1}(c) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\frac{3k(p-1) - p - 1}{6\sqrt{\pi p(p-1)}}G_{f+2}(c)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N}\frac{3k^{2}(p-1)^{2} + 5p^{2} - 2p - 1}{12p(p-1)}\left\{\frac{1}{2}G_{f+3}(c) - \frac{1}{2}G_{f+1}(c)\right\}$$

$$+ \frac{M_{p,k}}{N}\left\{\frac{1}{2}G_{f+3}(c) - \frac{1}{2}G_{f+1}(c)\right\} + O(N^{-3/2}).$$
(3.32)

3.3. Final result

Substituting (2.11), (3.14) and (3.32) into (3.1), we derive the asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$ as

$$P(-2\log\Lambda \le c) = \frac{1}{2}G_f(c) + \frac{1}{2}G_{f+1}(c) + \frac{A_1}{\sqrt{N}}\{G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c)\} + \frac{A_2}{N}\{G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c)\} + \frac{A_3}{N}\{G_{f+3}(c) - G_{f+1}(c)\} + O(N^{-3/2}),$$
(3.33)

where

$$A_1 = \frac{3k(p-1) - p - 1}{6\sqrt{\pi p(p-1)}}, \ A_2 = \frac{M_{p,k}}{2}, \ A_3 = \frac{3k^2(p-1)^2 + 5p^2 - 2p - 1}{24p(p-1)} + A_2.$$
(3.34)

4. Conservativeness and power

In Section 2 and 3, we derived the asymptotic expansions (2.12) and (3.33) when $\lambda^2 > 0$ and $\lambda^2 = 0$, respectively. For testing the hypothesis (1.3), we should use the asymptotic expansion (2.12) if $\lambda^2 > 0$ is true, on the other hand, we should use (3.33) if $\lambda^2 = 0$ is true. However, we do not know which is true because λ^2 is the unknown parameter. Hence, which expansion should we use, that is the problem. Nevertheless, one of the answers to this problem is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let Λ be the LR criterion for testing $H_0 : \Sigma = \lambda^2 \mathbf{1}_p \mathbf{1}'_p + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_p, \ \lambda^2 \geq 0, \ \sigma^2 > 0 \text{ v.s. } H_1 : \text{not } H_0 \text{ under the parallel profile model (1.1). Then, under <math>H_0$ it holds that

$$\mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid \mathbf{H}_0) \le \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid \mathbf{H}_0, \lambda^2 = 0).$$

Proof. Suppose that H_0 is true and $\lambda^2 > 0$. Under H_0 , from Section 2, $-2 \log \Lambda$ can be written as

$$-2\log\Lambda = \begin{cases} -2\log\Lambda_1, & \text{if } s_1/f_1 \ge s_2/f_2\\ -2\log\Lambda_1 + Q, & \text{if } s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2 \end{cases}$$

where, f_1 , f_2 , s_1 , s_2 and Λ_1 are defined in Section 2, and Q is given by

$$Q = -N \log \left\{ \frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} \left(\frac{s_1}{s_1 + s_2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{s_1}{s_1 + s_2} \right)^{p-1} \right\}.$$
 (4.1)

Note that Λ_1 , s_1 and s_2 are mutually independent, and

$$s_1 \sim \tau^2 \chi^2_{N-k}, \quad s_2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(N-1)(p-1)}, \quad \tau^2 = p\lambda^2 + \sigma^2.$$

From the definition of Λ we have

$$P(-2\log\Lambda \le c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0) = P(-2\log\Lambda_1 \le c, s_1/s_2 \ge (p-1)^{-1} \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_1 + Q \le c, s_1/s_2 < (p-1)^{-1} \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0).$$
(4.2)

Since $\sigma^2/\tau^2 < 1$, if $s_1/s_2 < (p-1)^{-1}$ then

$$\frac{1}{p} > \frac{s_1}{s_1 + s_2} = \frac{s_1/\tau^2}{s_1/\tau^2 + (\sigma^2/\tau^2) \times s_2/\sigma^2} > \frac{s_1/\tau^2}{s_1/\tau^2 + s_2/\sigma^2} \equiv U \text{ (say)} > 0.$$
(4.3)

Note that $U \sim Beta((N-k)/2, (N-1)(p-1)/2)$. From (4.3) it holds that

$$Q \le -N \log \frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} U(1-U)^{p-1} \equiv W$$
 (say),

because the function

$$-N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}}x(1-x)^{p-1}$$

is a decreasing function on (0, 1/p). Thus, the following inequality holds.

$$P(-2\log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, s_1/s_2 < (p-1)^{-1} | H_0, \lambda^2 > 0)$$

$$\le P(-2\log \Lambda_1 + Q \le c, s_1/s_2 < (p-1)^{-1} | H_0, \lambda^2 > 0).$$
(4.4)

Hence, using (4.2) and (4.4) it holds that

$$P(-2\log\Lambda \le c \mid \mathbf{H}_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \ge P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \le c, s_{1}/s_{2} \ge (p-1)^{-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \le c, s_{1}/s_{2} < (p-1)^{-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0).$$

$$(4.5)$$

Furthermore, the right hand side of (4.5) satisfies that

$$\begin{split} & P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, s_{1}/s_{2} \geq (p-1)^{-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &+ P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, s_{1}/s_{2} < (p-1)^{-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &= P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &+ P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} > \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &+ P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &- P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} > \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &= P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} > \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &+ P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \right) \\ &+ P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} > \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &- P\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} > \frac{s_{1}/\tau^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0\right) \\ &\geq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U < 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) \\ &\leq P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, U \geq 1/p \mid H_{0}, \lambda^{2} > 0) + P(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + W \leq c, U \leq 1/p \mid$$

Here, the last inequality is derived by $W \ge 0$. Noting that Λ_1 , U and W do not depend on λ^2 , substituting (4.6) into (4.5) yields

$$P(-2 \log \Lambda \le c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0)$$

$$\ge P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 \le c, U \ge 1/p \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0) + P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0)$$

$$= P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 \le c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0) P(U \ge 1/p \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0)$$

$$+ P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p \mid H_0, \lambda^2 > 0)$$

$$= P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 \le c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0) P(U \ge 1/p \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0)$$

$$+ P(-2 \log \Lambda_1 + W \le c, U < 1/p \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0)$$

$$= (3.1) = P(-2 \log \Lambda \le c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0).$$
(4.7)

This implies that $P(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid H_0) \le P(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0)$

From Theorem 4.1, the actual test size of the test using the null distribution under $\lambda^2 = 0$, is always smaller than the nominal test size α even if $\lambda^2 > 0$ is true. The nominal test size α can be chosen freely by the analyst, and α should not be overestimated. In

this sense, this testing method is conservative (the safest). For this reason, we suggest using the asymptotic expansion (3.33) for testing the hypothesis (1.3).

On the other hand, in the certain alternative hypothesis, the power of the test using the null distribution under $\lambda^2 = 0$ is given by the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. Let Λ be the LR criterion defined as in Theorem 4.1, and let

$$\mathbf{H}_{11}: \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \rho \mathbf{1}_p \mathbf{1}'_p + \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_p, \ 0 > \rho > -\frac{\sigma^2}{p}, \ \sigma^2 > 0.$$

Then, under H_{11} it holds that

$$P(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0) \le P(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid H_{11}).$$

Proof. Suppose that H_{11} is true. Under H_{11} , since Σ has the exchangeable covariance structure, $-2 \log \Lambda$ can be written as

$$-2\log\Lambda = \begin{cases} -2\log\Lambda_1, & \text{if } s_1/f_1 \ge s_2/f_2, \\ -2\log\Lambda_1 + Q, & \text{if } s_1/f_1 < s_2/f_2, \end{cases}$$

where, f_1 , f_2 , s_1 , s_2 and Λ_1 are defined in Section 2, and Q is given by (4.1). Note that Λ_1 , s_1 and s_2 are mutually independent, and

$$s_1 \sim v^2 \chi^2_{N-k}, \quad s_2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(N-1)(p-1)}, \quad v^2 = p\rho + \sigma^2.$$

Noting that $\sigma^2/v^2 > 1$ and Y is non-negative, we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda \leq c \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, s_{1}/s_{2} \geq (p-1)^{-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + Q \leq c, s_{1}/s_{2} < (p-1)^{-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &- \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} \leq \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{\sigma^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + Q \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + Q \leq c, \frac{1}{p-1} \leq \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{\sigma^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{P}\left(-2\log\Lambda_{1} + Q \leq c, \frac{s_{1}/v^{2}}{s_{2}/\sigma^{2}} < \frac{1}{p-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_{11}\right). \end{split}$$

Since $\sigma^2/v^2 > 1$, if $(s_1/v^2)/(s_2/\sigma^2) < (p-1)^{-1}$ then

$$0 < \frac{s_1}{s_1 + s_2} = \frac{s_1/v^2}{s_1/v^2 + (\sigma^2/v^2) \times s_2/\sigma^2} < \frac{s_1/v^2}{s_1/v^2 + s_2/\sigma^2} \equiv U^* \text{ (say)} < \frac{1}{p-1}.$$
 (4.8)

Note that $U^* \sim Beta((N-k)/2, (N-1)(p-1)/2)$. From (4.8) it holds that

$$Q \ge -N \log \frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} U^* (1-U^*)^{p-1} \equiv W^*$$
 (say).

Therefore, similarly as to evaluations of (4.4) and (4.7) in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$P(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid H_0, \lambda^2 = 0) \le P(-2\log\Lambda > c \mid H_{11}).$$

Corollary 4.1 implies that, for the fixed nominal test size α , the actual test size (or power) of the test using the null distribution under $\lambda^2 = 0$, is larger than α under H₁₁. Therefore, this testing method is also better from the viewpoint of the power.

Remark 4.1. The null hypothesis H_{0^*} considered by Srivastava and Singull (2012) includes H_{11} . Hence, for the fixed nominal test size α , the actual test size (or power) of the test using the M-LRT proposed by Srivastava and Singull (2012), is equal to α under H_{11} . Therefore, under the certain alternative hypothesis H_{11} , the test using the null distribution of R-LRT proposed by Yokoyama (1995) under $\lambda^2 = 0$, is better than the test using the M-LRT from the viewpoint of the power.

5. Numerical experiments

To compare the accuracy of the approximations based on the limiting distribution and the asymptotic expansion, we compute the actual test sizes (ATSs) of $-2 \log \Lambda$. Let

$$F^{(\lambda^2 > 0)}(c) = G_f(c) + \frac{M_{p,k}}{N} \{ G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c) \},$$

$$F^{(\lambda^2 = 0)}(c) = \frac{1}{2} G_f(c) + \frac{1}{2} G_{f+1}(c) + \frac{A_1}{\sqrt{N}} \{ G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c) \}$$

$$+ \frac{A_2}{N} \{ G_{f+2}(c) - G_f(c) \} + \frac{A_3}{N} \{ G_{f+3}(c) - G_{f+1}(c) \},$$

where $M_{p,k}$ is given by (2.9) and A_1 , A_2 , A_3 are given by (3.34). Let α be the nominal test size. Then, from 100,000 monte carlo simulation runs, in the case of $\lambda^2 > 0$ the ATSs of $-2 \log \Lambda$ based on the limiting distribution and the asymptotic expansion are computed as

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda^2 > 0} = \frac{\#\{t \mid G_f(t) > 1 - \alpha\}}{100000}, \ \tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda^2 > 0} = \frac{\#\{t \mid F^{(\lambda^2 > 0)}(t) > 1 - \alpha\}}{100000},$$

respectively. Similarly, in the case of $\lambda^2 = 0$ these are computed as

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda^2=0} = \frac{\#\{t \mid 0.5 \times (G_f(t) + G_{f+1}(t)) > 1 - \alpha\}}{100000}, \ \tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda^2=0} = \frac{\#\{t \mid F^{(\lambda^2=0)}(t) > 1 - \alpha\}}{100000},$$

respectively. Here, t is the values of $-2 \log \Lambda$ calculated from the simulation data. Under the null hypothesis we considered the following six cases.

(1) $p = 2, k = 2, \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma^2 = 1,$ (2) $p = 4, k = 2, \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma^2 = 1,$ (3) $p = 2, k = 5, \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma^2 = 1,$ (4) $p = 2, k = 2, \lambda^2 = 0, \sigma^2 = 1,$ (5) $p = 4, k = 2, \lambda^2 = 0, \sigma^2 = 1,$ (6) $p = 2, k = 5, \lambda^2 = 0, \sigma^2 = 1.$

The ATSs in the cases (1)–(3) and (4)–(6) are given in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively, for $\alpha = 0.05$. Table 1. The ATSs in the cases (1)–(3) Table 2. The ATSs in the cases (4)–(6)

	Sample size	Nominal 5% test			Sample size	Nominal 5% test	
	Ν	$\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda^2 > 0}$	$\tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda^2 > 0}$		Ν	$\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda^2=0}$	$\tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda^2=0}$
	10	0.11776	0.07249		10	0.12881	0.0734
	20	0.07384	0.05382		20	0.08451	0.0569
	30	0.06532	0.05226		30	0.07110	0.0521
Case (1)	40	0.06047	0.05005	Case (4)	40	0.06607	0.0520
	50	0.05953	0.05133		50	0.06320	0.0519
	80	0.05671	0.05180		80	0.05849	0.0503
	100	0.05430	0.05001		100	0.05646	0.0495
Case (2)	10	0.24649	0.13524	Case (5)	10	0.26490	0.1434
	20	0.11172	0.06703		20	0.11929	0.0673
	30	0.08576	0.05714		30	0.09053	0.0578
	40	0.07563	0.05454		40	0.07823	0.0540
	50	0.06871	0.05267		50	0.07120	0.0523
	80	0.06177	0.05079		80	0.06294	0.0510
	100	0.05813	0.04986		100	0.06075	0.0507
Case (3)	10	0.28712	0.18017	Case (6)	10	0.38579	0.2204
	20	0.10971	0.06863		20	0.16566	0.0847
	30	0.08318	0.05713		30	0.11993	0.0641
	40	0.07303	0.05395		40	0.10114	0.0588
	50	0.06786	0.05352		50	0.09308	0.0577
	80	0.06188	0.05272		80	0.07656	0.0518
	100	0.05654	0.04930		100	0.07278	0.0520

From Table 1 and 2 we can see that the accuracy of the approximations of $\tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda^2>0}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda^2=0}$ are better than that of $\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda^2>0}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda^2=0}$, respectively. However, for the small sample size, the approximation of the asymptotic expansion is still not good when p and k are not very small. Nevertheless, for any natural number s, applying the same

techniques used in Section 2 and 3 we can derive the asymptotic expansion up to the order of N^{-s} . Therefore, further improvement in the accuracy of the approximation is possible.

6. Conclusion

We derived the asymptotic expansions of the null distribution of the L-LRT proposed by Yokoyama (1995). Numerical experiments showed that the accuracy of the approximation of the asymptotic expansion is better than that of the limiting distribution. Furthermore, Applying the same techniques used in Section 2 and 3, further improvement in the accuracy of the approximation is possible. Therefore, "the accuracy of the approximation" of the test using the L-LRT was improved.

On the other hand, from Theorem 4.1, we showed that the test Assuming $\lambda^2 = 0$ is the safest. In addition, from Corollary 4.1, we also showed that the power of the test assuming $\lambda^2 = 0$ is larger than the nominal test size α in the certain alternative hypothesis H₁₁. Recall that the test using the M-LRT proposed by Srivastava and Singull (2012) is not detected the hypothesis H₁₁. Hence, under H₁₁, the test using the L-LRT is better than the test using the M-LRT.

Appendix : derivation of (3.21)

Consider the following relation

$$y = -N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}}u(1-u)^{p-1} = -N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}} - N\log u(1-u)^{p-1},$$

where y is defined on (0, c] and c is a positive constant. Similarly, u is defined on $[u^*, 1/p)$ and u^* is a positive number satisfying

$$-N\log\frac{p^p}{(p-1)^{p-1}}u^*(1-u^*)^{p-1} = c.$$

Here, for simplicity, we denote by $N(x;\varepsilon)$ the ε -neighborhood of x. Let

$$f(u) = \log u(1-u)^{p-1}.$$

Using Taylor expansion at u = 1/p, f(u) can be expanded as

$$f(u) = f(1/p) + f'(1/p)(u - 1/p) + \frac{1}{2}f''(u_1)(u - 1/p)^2, \ u^* \le u_1 < 1/p.$$

Nothing that $-N\{f(u) - f(1/p)\} = y$, f'(1/p) = 0 and u < 1/p, we have

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(u_1)}} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} c_1,$$
 (A.1)

where $f''(u_1)$ and c_1 are bounded. Again, using Taylor expansion at u = 1/p, f(u) can be expressed as

$$f(u) = f(1/p) + \frac{1}{2}f''(1/p)(u - 1/p)^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(u_2)(u - 1/p)^3, \ u^* \le u_2 < 1/p.$$

From this expansion and (A.1), we obtain

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(1/p)}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(u_2)\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}c_1^3} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(1/p)}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}},$$
(A.2)

where $\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{y} N^{-1/2} = o(1)$ because $f'''(u_2)$, c_1 and y are bounded. Let $g(x) = (1-x)^{1/2}$. Then, using Taylor expansion at x = 0, g(x) is expressed as

$$\sqrt{1-x} = 1 + g'(x^*)x, \ x^* \in \mathcal{N}(0; |x|).$$

Thus, we have

$$\sqrt{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}} = 1 - g'(\delta_1) \frac{\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}, \ \delta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(0; |\varepsilon_1| \sqrt{y} N^{-1/2}).$$
(A.3)

Note that $g'(\delta_1)$ is bounded because $\delta_1 = o(1)$. Therefore, from (A.2) and (A.3) we obtain

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(1/p)}} + \frac{y}{N}g'(\delta_1)\varepsilon_1\sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(1/p)}} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}b_1 + \frac{y}{N}c_2, \qquad (A.4)$$

where c_2 is bounded and b_1 is given by

$$b_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{f''(1/p)}}.$$

Similarly, from (A.1) and (A.4), using Taylor expansion at u = 1/p, f(u) can be expanded as

$$\begin{split} f(u) &= f(1/p) + \frac{1}{2} f''(1/p)(u - 1/p)^2 + \frac{1}{6} f'''(1/p)(u - 1/p)^3 + \frac{1}{24} f^{(4)}(u_3)(u - 1/p)^4, \\ &= f(1/p) + \frac{1}{2} f''(1/p)(u - 1/p)^2 + \frac{1}{6} f'''(1/p) \left(-\frac{b_1^3}{N\sqrt{N}} y\sqrt{y} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} f'''(1/p) \left(\frac{3b_1^2 c_2}{N^2} y^2 - \frac{3b_1 c_2^2 y^2 \sqrt{y}}{N^2 \sqrt{N}} + \frac{c_2^3}{N^3} y^3 \right) + \frac{1}{24} f^{(4)}(u_3) \frac{c_1^4}{N^2} y^2 \\ &= f(1/p) + \frac{1}{2} f''(1/p)(u - 1/p)^2 + \frac{1}{6} f'''(1/p) \left(-\frac{b_1^3}{N\sqrt{N}} y\sqrt{y} \right) + \frac{y^2}{N^2} \varepsilon_2, \end{split}$$

where u_3 is defined on $[u^*, 1/p)$ and ε_2 is bounded. Hence, from this expansion, we have

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} b_1 \sqrt{1 - \left\{\frac{f^{\prime\prime\prime}(1/p)}{6\sqrt{N}} b_1^3 \sqrt{y} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{N} y\right\}} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}} b_1 \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon_3},\tag{A.5}$$

where $\varepsilon_3 = o(1)$. Using

$$\sqrt{1-x} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}g''(x^*)x^2, \ x^* \in \mathcal{N}(0;|x|),$$

 $(1-\varepsilon_3)^{1/2}$ can be expressed as

$$\sqrt{1-\varepsilon_3} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_3 + \frac{1}{2}g''(\delta_2)\varepsilon_3^2
= 1 - \frac{f'''(1/p)}{12\sqrt{N}}b_1^3\sqrt{y} + \frac{\varepsilon_2 y}{2N} + \frac{g''(\delta_2)}{2}\frac{y}{N}\left\{\frac{f'''(1/p)}{6}b_1^3 - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{y}\right\}^2
= 1 - \frac{f'''(1/p)}{12\sqrt{N}}b_1^3\sqrt{y} + \frac{y}{N}\varepsilon_4, \ \delta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(0; |\varepsilon_3|),$$
(A.6)

where $g''(\delta_2)$ and ε_4 are bounded because $\delta_2 = o(1)$. Therefore, substituting (A.6) into (A.5) yields

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}b_1 + \frac{y}{N}\frac{b_1^4}{12}f'''(1/p) - \frac{y\sqrt{y}}{N\sqrt{N}}b_1\varepsilon_4 = -\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{N}}b_1 + \frac{y}{N}b_2 + \frac{y\sqrt{y}}{N\sqrt{N}}\varepsilon_5, \quad (A.7)$$
$$b_2 = \frac{b_1^4}{12}f'''(1/p),$$

where ε_5 is bounded.

Finally, using Taylor expansion at u = 1/p for f(u) up to the fifth order, the expansion for g(x) up to the second order, (A.1) and (A.7), we obtain

$$u - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{b_1}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{y} + \frac{b_2}{N}y + \frac{b_3}{N\sqrt{N}}y\sqrt{y} + \frac{\varepsilon}{N^2}y^2, \ \varepsilon = O(1),$$
$$b_3 = \frac{\{f'''(1/p)\}^2b_1^7}{288} - \frac{f'''(1/p)b_1^3b_2}{4} - \frac{f^{(4)}(1/p)b_1^5}{48}.$$

References

Barnes, E. W. (1899). The theory of the gamma function. Messeng. Math., 29, 64–129.

- Box, G. E. P. (1949). A general distribution theory for a class of likelihood criteria. *Biometrika*, **36**, 317–346.
- Fujikoshi, Y., Ulyanov, V. V. and Shimizu, R. (2010). Multivariate Statistics: High-Dimensional and Large-Sample Approximations. Wiley.
- Srivastava, M. S. and Singull, M. (2012). Profile analysis with random-effects covariance structure. J. Japan Statist. Soc., 42, 145–164.
- Yokoyama, T. (1995). LR test for random-effects covariance structure in a parallel profile model. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 47, 309–320.
- Yokoyama, T. and Fujikoshi, Y. (1993). A parallel profile model with random-effects covariance structure. J. Japan Statist. Soc., 23, 83–89.